J. Product. & Dev., 26(3):513-529 (2021)

# **EFFECT OF NPK, HUMIC ACID AND DRY YEAST ON GROWTH, OIL YIELD AND CHEMICAL CONSTITUENTS OF SWEET BASIL** (*Ocimum basilicum* L.)

## Mohamed, E. Abou El Salehein; Darwish, M. Ibrahim and Abd El Mohsen, A. Helal

*Oloriculture, Medicinal and Aromatic Plants Branch, Plant Production Department, Faculty of Technology & Development, Zagazig University, Egypt* <u>mohsenhelal@yahoo.com</u>,

## **ABSTRACT:**

The present work was carried out during 2019 and 2020 seasons at the Experimental Lathe-house, Fac. Tech.& Develop., Zagazig Univ., to evaluate to what extent can use Humic acid and/ or active dry yeast applications instead of/ or compensate the NPK chemical fertilization for enhancing vegetative growth, herb yield and essential oil yield.

The obtained results can be summarized as follows: The treatments of 2.5 g NPK/ pot, 2.5 g/L Humic acid + 8.0 g/L dry yeast extract, and the interaction of the treatment of 2.5 g NPK/ pot, with 2.5 g/L Humic acid + 8.0 g/L dry yeast extract, being the most effective on vegetative growth, oil yield and the chemical constituents of volatile oils of sweet basil plants, followed by the treatments of 2.5 g NPK/ pot , with 12 g/L dry yeast extract , and 2.5 g NPK/ pot , with 5 g/L Humic acid , respectively, in most cases , in the two times of cutting of this study.

**Conclusively, f**rom this study and the obtained results, it can be concluded that the medium level of NPK at 2.5 g / pot, 2.5 g/l Humic acid + 8.0 g/l dry yeast, and its interaction were recorded the highest values on plant growth characters, chemical contents, pigments, oil yield, and chemical constituents of volatile oils. As well as, the suitable rate of humic acid and dry yeast extract can compensate the high level of NPK doses.

**Key words**: sweet basil, NPK fertilization, Humic acid, dry yeast extract, chemical constitute of oil yield.

### INTRODUCTION

Medicinal and aromatic plants contain substances that can be used for therapeutic purposes. It is known in the modern and ancient civilization for their healing properties.

Sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) comprises several aromatic and medicinal *Lamiaceae* herbs belong to different species (Koba *et al.*, 2009). The useful parts of the basil plants are their leaves and seeds. Where each of the fresh and dry leaves is commonly used in food and spice industries.

Furthermore, it is also considered as a source of aroma compounds and thus, possesses a range of biological properties and antioxidant properties (Lee *et al.*, 2005).

Recently, some efforts are paid to minimize the amounts of chemical fertilizers (N, P and K) in which applied to medicinal and aromatic plants in order to reduce each of production cost and environmental pollution without reduction of yield and oil yield (Mohamed *et al.*, 2017).

Humic acid, and dry yeast extracts are used to compensate the amount of chemical fertilizers application, where Humic acid has a higher carbon percentage and several benefits as an integral part of their fertilizer program.

Humic acid is one of the major components of humus, El. Sayed *et al.*,(2015), illustrated that the application of 125 ppm with Humic acid increased all growth parameters of basil plant (plant height, number of branches, leaf area, herb fresh and dry weights) and oil percentages in herb compared to the other treatments. Moreover, Bayat and Belopukhov (2019) pointed out that the height values of essential oil, fresh weight, dry weight chlorophyll content, plant height and root length were observed when the basil plants sprayed with 6 lit/ Humic acid.

In addition, active dry yeast is a natural safety bio fertilizer that causes various promoted effect on plants. it is considered as a natural source of cytokinines which simulates cell division and enlargement, as well as the synthesis of protein, nucleic acid and B-vitamin (Ezz El-Din and Hendawy,2010). It also releases  $CO_2$  which reflected in improving net photosynthesis. Mohamed *et al*, (2017), demonstrated that yeast extracts as application increased the growth, oil yield of basil plants.

Therefore, the objective of the present study was to study the effect of Humic acid and dry yeast extracts to compensate the amount of NPK fertilizers on vegetative growth, oil yield and the chemical composition of essential oil of *Ocimum basilicum* L.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present work was carried out during 2019 and 2020 seasons at the Experimental Lathe-house, Fac. Tech.& Develop., Zagazig Univ., to evaluate to what extent can use Humic acid and/ or active dry yeast applications instead of NPK chemical fertilization for enhancing vegetative growth, herb yield and essential oil yield and its components.

Seeds were sown on the  $4^{th}$  and  $5^{th}$  march 2019 and 2020, respectively, in seed trays. After one month from sowing, when the seedling reached 8-10cm height with 6-8 leaves, they were transplanted to 30cm media of clay, sand, peat moss (1:1:1) in pots with diameter of 25 cm (Table,1).

Characters								
Physical proprieties	Values %	Chemical analysis	Values %					
Coarse sand	6.91	Total Nitrogen	0.33					
Fine sand	8.66	Total Phosphorus	0.16					
Clay	52.12	Total Potassium	0.25					
Silt	28.22	organic matter	2.44					
Peat moss	4.07	Ca CO <sub>3</sub>	0.55					
Textural class	Clay loam	pH	7.66					
		Ec (ds/m)	0.66					

**Table (1):** The Physical and Chemical proprieties of the soil media

Herb harvest was taking place twice, in the first cut, when inflorescence shoots occurred (50% flowering) in June and after two months, the second cut harvest was done.

The first cut time, the plant height of 6-7 cm above the ground, and the second cut, all the plants were harvested with the roots.

The experimental layout was split plot design for arrangement of pots with three replications, where the main plots were NPK fertilizer levels, and the Humic acid and active dry yeast (Bio-stimulants) were distributed in the subplots. Each replicate contained 18 treatments (3 NPK levels + 6 Humic acid and dry yeast rates), and five plants were used as an experimental unit (Snedecor and Cochran, 1989). The NPK chemical fertilizer tested levels were 0, 2.5 and 5g/ pot, (kristalon, NPK 19:19:19). These amounts were applied at the media of the pot soil, three times, after 3, 6 weeks and the third one after the first cut from transplanting (June). The three tested of NPK level used equally to zero, 50, and 100%, respectively of the recommended NPK fertilizer dose of sweet basil according to El- Sayed *et al.* (2015). Humax 95-WGS, was used as a

## MOHAMED. ABOU EL SALEHEIN et al.

source of Humic acid (Table,2-a), and yeast solution was prepared according to the method described by Morsi *et al.*, (2008) and it activated overnight in 20% sucrose aqueous solution before treatments (Table, 2-b).

The tested of bio-stimulants were applied four times as foliar sprays. The first spray was added at 30 days after transplanting and the second spray was applied after 21 days from the first one. As well as, the third and fourth sprays were added at 15 and 30 days from the first cut. The six sprays with the tested bio-stimulants as follows:

#### Table (2-a): Characteristics of Humic acid used in the experiment

Trade name	Fulic acid	K2O	Humic acid
Humax 95 –WGS	15 %	5 %	80 %

#### Table (2- b): Chemical analysis of activity dry yeast

Characters	Value %
Protein	34.87
Ash	7.55
Glycogen	6.54
Fats	2.09
Calluses	4.92

**Table (3):** Chemical analysis of irrigation water (Tap water)

Characters							
Soluble cautions	Value mg/ L	Soluble anions	Value mg/ L				
Ca++	10.10	HCO <sub>3</sub> -	9.35				
Mg++	13.32	SO <sub>4</sub> -	15.10				
Na+	39.40	CL-	19.50				
K+	1.17	pН	7.20				
		EC (dsm-1)	5.54				

1-Tap water (without any stimulants as control) (Table,3).

2-Humic acid at 2.5 and 5.0 g/ L.

3-Activated dry yeast at 8.0 and 12.0 g/ L.

4- Humic acid at 2.5 g/ L + Activated dry yeast at 8.0 g/ L.

The following data were recorded:

## Growth characteristics:

The vegetative growth parameters were included; (plant height (cm), number of branches, and leaves, leaf area (cm<sup>2</sup>), herbs fresh and dry weights (g).

#### Chemical constituents:

a) **Chlorophyll content**: The chlorophyll content (a, b and a+ b) of fresh leaves, as well as, total carotenoids of the two cutting times, and was measured according to the method described by. A. O. A. C. (2000).

b) **The essential oil**: it was extracted by water distillation method according to Novak *et al.*, 2002. The amount of the obtained oil from the plants was measured and oil percentage (%) was calculated according to Charles and Simon, (1990).

c) **Chemical composition of basil leaves** (N, P and K): The percentage of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were determined in basil herb herbs at the flowering stage at the two cutting times according the methods described by A.O.A.C. (1980).

#### Statistical analysis:

Data were statistically analyzed according to Snedecor and Cochran, (1989). The Least Significant Difference (LSD) at P $\leq$  0.05 was employed to separate the treatments means using the SAS program (2004).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Vegetative growth characters:

### a) Effect of NPK fertilizers:

Data in Tables (4 - 5) show that the vegetative growth of basil plants, *i.e.*, plants height, number of (branches, and leaves), herb (fresh, and dry weights), were significantly increased with NPK fertilizer in both cutting times and growing seasons compared with untreated plants.

With increasing the level of NPK from 2.5 g/ pot to 5.0 g/ pot decrease the vegetative growth characters, where treated the basil plants with 2.5 g/ pot, being the most effective in this respect.

Respecting the role of N, P and K nutrients on plants, Edmond *et al.*, 1981, concluded that nitrogen is an indispensable elementary constituent of numerous organic compounds of general impotence (amino acid, protein, and nucleic acid), which are needed in the formation of protoplasm and new cells, thus increased plant growth, fresh and dry weight of different parts of plant.

Moreover, Bidwell (1979) illustrated that phosphorus plays a vital role in the enzyme system for the energy transform in photosynthesis and respiration. It is also a constituent of cell nucleus and essential for cell division and for the development of meristem tissues. Mohamed *et al.*, (2017), demonstrated that potassium is the prevalent cation in plant and may be involved in maintenance

		Plant l	0	Number of							
Tre	Treatments			(cm)		Branches		Leaves			
					Cuts						
				First	Second	First	Second	First	Second		
					201	9					
NP	K	<b>0.0</b> (co	ontrol)	38.338	41.916	9.600	10.200	168.338	224.916		
<b>g</b> / ]	pot	2.5		41.905	45.950	10.011	10.700	222.683	248.666		
		5.0		40.788	43.188	9.922	10.550	202.988	241.083		
LS	D (0.05)			0.221	0.201	0.148	0.143	1.408	1.644		
			0.0	34.011	36.855	7.677	8.544	143.988	172072		
20	Humio	e acid	2.5	38.488	40.500	8.611	9.366	194.344	228.389		
Bio stimulants	g/ L		5	42.066	44.711	10.633	11.000	217.488	250.056		
mul		extract	8.0	41.100	42.911	9.433	10.433	203.888	238.689		
o sti	g/L		12.0	42.655	47.466	11.177	11.455	225.744	263.178		
Bi	Humic		2.5 +	43.744	49.666	11.633	12.100	238.766	276.944		
	yeast g/ L	extract	8.0								
LS	D at (0.0	<b>)</b> 5)		0.313	0.284	0.210	0.202	.992	2.325		
					202	0	l .				
		<b>0.0</b> (co	ontrol)	39.538	43.216	10.00	10.800	192.238	229.716		
NP		2.5		43.105	47.250	10.411	11.300	228.683	253.466		
g/ ]	pot	5.0		41.988	44.488	10.322	11.150	208.888	245.883		
LS	D (0.05)			0.221	0.201	0.148	0.143	1.408	1.644		
			0.0	35.211	38.155	8.077	9.144	149.888	176.878		
tts		ic acid	2.5	39.688	41.800	9.011	9.966	200.244	233.189		
ulan	g/L		5	43.266	46.011	10.933	11.600	223.388	254.856		
Bio stimulants	Yeast g/L	extract	8.0	42.300	44.211	9.833	11.033	209.788	243.489		
io s	U	c acid +	12.0	43.856	48.766	11.577	12.055	231.644	267.978		
m		extract	2.5 + 8.0	44.944	50.966	12.033	12.700	244.666	281.744		
IC	g/L		0.0	0.212	0.004	0.210	0.202	1.002	2 225		
LS	D at (0.0	15)		0.313	0.284	0.210	0.202	1.992	2.325		

**Table (4):** Effect of NPK and Bio stimulants on vegetative growth of basil plantduring the growing seasons (2019 and 2020)

Treatments         Weight of leaves (g)									
				Fresh		D	ry		
				Cuts					
				First	Second	First	Second		
First season (2019)									
0.0 (control)			14.148	15.683	3.610	5.348			
NPI	K g / pot	2.5		14.502	18.672	4.517	6.422		
		5.0		14.393	18.322	4.310	5.464		
LSI	<b>)</b> at (0.05)			0.115	0.082	0.031	0.038		
ts			0.0	13.398	14.355	3.272	4.405		
Bio stimulants	Humic acid g/L		2.5	13.406	15.798	3.997	5.531		
mu			5	14.493	16.781	4.243	5.978		
stii		-	8.0	14.094	16.642	4.074	5.791		
Bio	Yeast extract g/		12.0	14.995	20.330	4.560	6.117		
	2.5 Humic acid +8	.0 yeast ex	tract g/L	15.700	21.458	4.727	6.647		
LSD	<b>)</b> at (0.05)			0.163	0.116	0.044	0.054		
		S	econd sea	ason (202	0)				
		0.0 (con	trol)	16.448	17.588	4.100	5.928		
NPI	K g / pot	2.5		16.693	20.572	5.007	7.002		
		5.0		16.693	20.222	4.800	6.044		
LSE	) at (0.05)			0.115	0.082	0.031	0.038		
			0.0	15.698	16.255	3.762	4.985		
ints	Humic acid g/L		2.5	15.706	17.698	4.487	6.111		
Bio stimulants			5.0	16.793	18.681	4.733	6.558		
stin			8.0	16.394	18.542	4.564	6.371		
Bio	.º Yeast extract g/L		12.0	17.295	22.230	5.050	6.697		
2.5 Humic acid +8.0 yeast extract $g/L$			18.000	23.358	5.217	7.227			
LSD at (0.05)				0.163	0.116	0.044	0.054		

**Table (5):** Effect of NPK and Bio stimulants on fresh and dry weight of basilplant during the two growing seasons (2019 and 2020)

of balance in cells and in bounds ironically to the enzyme pyruvate kinase, which is essential in respiration and carbohydrates metabolism. Moreover, it has a beneficial effect of water consumption.

## b) Effect of bio stimulants:

It is clearly evident from data in Tables (4 - 5) that spraying basil plants with bio stimulants had a significantly increased in vegetative growth parameters compared with control treatment, in both growing seasons and cutting times. The treatment of 2.5g humic acid + 8g dry yeast/ L, being the most effective in vegetative growth characters of basil plant, followed by the treatment of 12g dry yeast/ L, and 5.0g Humic acid/ L, respectively.

As the role of humic acid, and dry yeast on basil plants, Thygesen *et al.*, (2009), stated that humic substances are natural organic compounds exists in soils having high level of organic matters. In addition, humic acid a natural polymer organic compound and is one of the major components of humus and containing most of known trace minerals necessary to plant growth (El Ziat *et al.*, 2018). As well as, Amer, (2004), confirmed that active dry yeast is a natural safety bio fertilizer and bio-stimulant causes various promoted effects on plants.

It is considered as a natural source of cytokinins that simulate, cell division and enlargement, as well as, the synthesis of protein, nucleic acid and B-vitamin, consequently, enhanced the plant growth. Similar trends were also registered by El-Ziat *et al.*, 2018, who working with NPK, Humic acid and dry yeast, respectively. They observed that NPK, Humic acid and dry yeast application increased plant growth characters.

## Chemical and pigments constituents of basil plant: a) Effect of NPK fertilizer:

It can be seen from the data in Table (6 - 8) that application of NPK fertilization reflected a significant difference on chemical contents of herb plants (N, P, K and total carbohydrates) and pigments (chlorophyll a, b, a+b, and carotenoids) than un applied plants in both growing seasons and both cutting times.

It is obvious from such data that applying basil plants with 2.5 g/ pot gave the highest chemical contents and pigments in plants and recorded the maximum values of N, P, K, carbohydrates, chlorophyll a, b, (a + b), and carotenoids. The lowest values of chemical and pigments contents were recorded by the untreated plants 9 control treatment). On the other hand, with increasing the level of NPK up to 5.0 g/ L., caused a decrease

Treatments			Chlorophyll						
			Α	B	a+ b	Α	b	a+ b	
			]	First cut		S	econd cu	at	
K g/	0.0 (contro	ol)	0.835	0.567	1.403	0.880	0.474	1.354	
	2.5 g/ pot		1.129	0.604	1.733	1.236	0.493	1.729	
	5.0 g/ pot		0.856	0.583	1.440	1.050	0.495	1.546	
0.05)			0.002	0.001	0.002	0.001	0.001	0.002	
0.0 (cor	ntrol)		0.765	0.535	1.301	0.795	0.438	1.234	
Humic	acid g/ L	2.5	0.914	0.566	1.480	1.061	0.479	1.541	
		5.0	0.984	0.590	1.575	1.096	0.495	1.591	
Yeast e	xtract g/L	8.0	0.970	0.582	1.553	1.087	0.490	1.578	
		12.0	0.995	0.608	1.604	1.142	0.508	1.650	
Humic acid g/ L         2.5           5.0         5.0           Yeast extract g/L         8.0           12.0         2.5 g/L Humic acid + 8.0		1.012	0.627	0.640	1.150	0.513	1.664		
g /L yeast extract									
LSD (0.05)			0.002	0.002	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.002	
	(0.05) 0.0 (cor Humic Yeast e 2.5 g/L g /L yea	2.5 g/ pot 5.0 g/ pot 0.0 (control) Humic acid g/ L Yeast extract g/L 2.5 g/L Humic acid g /L yeast extract	2.5 g/ pot         5.0 g/ pot         5.0 g/ pot         0.0 (control)         Humic acid g/ L         2.5         5.0         Yeast extract g/L         8.0         12.0         2.5 g/L Humic acid + 8.0         g /L yeast extract	Image: Constraint of the system       0.0 (control)       0.835         2.5 g/ pot       1.129         5.0 g/ pot       0.856         0.00(control)       0.856         0.0 (control)       0.765         Humic acid g/ L       2.5       0.914         5.0       5.0       0.984         Yeast extract g/L       8.0       0.970         12.0       0.995       0.995         2.5 g/L Humic acid + 8.0       1.012         g/L yeast extract       1.012	Image: Constraint of the constrain	Image: Note of the system         X g/       0.0 (control)       0.835       0.567       1.403         2.5 g/ pot       1.129       0.604       1.733         5.0 g/ pot       0.856       0.583       1.440         0.00 (control)       0.002       0.001       0.002         0.0 (control)       0.765       0.535       1.301         Humic acid g/ L       2.5       0.914       0.566       1.480         5.0       0.984       0.590       1.575         Yeast extract g/L       8.0       0.970       0.582       1.503         12.0       0.995       0.608       1.604         2.5 g/L Humic acid + 8.0       1.012       0.627       0.640         g/L yeast extract       I       I       I       I	First cut       S $Xg/$ $0.0 (control)$ $0.835$ $0.567$ $1.403$ $0.880$ $2.5 g/pot$ $1.129$ $0.604$ $1.733$ $1.236$ $5.0 g/pot$ $0.856$ $0.583$ $1.440$ $1.050$ $0.005$ $0.002$ $0.001$ $0.002$ $0.001$ $0.0 (control)$ $0.765$ $0.535$ $1.301$ $0.795$ Humic acid g/ L $2.5$ $0.914$ $0.566$ $1.480$ $1.061$ Veast extract g/ L $8.0$ $0.970$ $0.582$ $1.553$ $1.087$ Yeast extract g/ L $8.0$ $0.970$ $0.608$ $1.604$ $1.142$ $2.5 g/L$ Humic acid + 8.0 $1.012$ $0.627$ $0.640$ $1.150$ g/L yeast extract $4.01$ $1.012$ $0.627$ $0.640$ $1.150$	First cut       Second cut $xg/$ 0.0 (control)       0.835       0.567       1.403       0.880       0.474 $2.5 g/pot$ 1.129       0.604       1.733       1.236       0.493 $5.0 g/pot$ 0.856       0.583       1.440       1.050       0.493 $0.00cot$ 0.001       0.002       0.001       0.001       0.001 $0.0 (cotrol)$ 0.765       0.535       1.301       0.795       0.438         Humic acid g/ L       2.5       0.914       0.566       1.480       1.061       0.479         5.0       0.984       0.590       1.575       1.096       0.495         Yeast extract g/L       8.0       0.970       0.582       1.553       1.087       0.490         2.5 g/L Humic acid + 8.0       1.012       0.627       0.640       1.142       0.513         g/L yeast extract       I.012       0.627       0.640       1.150       0.513	

**Table (6):** Effect of NPK fertilizer and bio stimulants on chlorophyll content(mg/g F.W.) of basil plant during the second season (2020)

**Table (7):** Effect of NPK fertilizer and bio stimulants on N, P and K % ofbasil plant during second season (2020)

			Ν	%	P	%	K	%	
Treatments		Cuts							
			First	Second	First	Second	First	Second	
<b>0.0</b> (c	ontrol)		2.461	2.342	0.244	0.253	2.107	2.210	
NPK	g/ pot	2.5	2.576	2.827	0.255	0.300	2.222	2.379	
	5.0		2.500	2.616	0.247	0.282	2.158	2.295	
LSD	(0.05)		0.010	0.011	0.001	.001	0.009	0.010	
		0.0	1.523	1.597	0.223	0.244	1.226	1.361	
ts	Humic acid	2.5	2.386	2.271	0.234	0.267	2.236	2.367	
llan	g/ L	5.0	2.715	2.803	0.256	0.287	2.333	2.437	
imu	Yeast	8.0	2.658	2.677	0.248	0.279	2.244	2.39	
Bio stimulants	extract g/ L	12.0	2.865	2.902	0.261	0.292	2.442	2.573	
Bi	2.5 g/L Humic	acid +	2.924	3.021	0.269	0.302	2.495	2.640	
	8.0 g / L yeast	8.0 g / L yeast extract							
LSD (0.05)		0.014	0.016	0.002	0.002	0.013	0.014		

MOHAMED. ABOU EL SALEHEIN et al.

Table (8): Effect of NPK fertilizer and biostimulants on<br/>plant during the<br/>second season (2020)

		Care	otene	<b>Total Carbohydrates</b>				
Treatments				Cuts				
			First	Second	First	Second		
0.0 (co	ontrol)		0.438	0.405	9.351	9.500		
NPK g	g/ pot	2.5	0.497	0.453	11.130	11.278		
		5.0	0.463	0.446	9.598	9.800		
LSD (	0.05)		0.002	0.001	0.018	0.015		
		0.0	0.340	0.321	8.442	8.561		
lts	Humic acid g/ L	2.5	0.437	0.406	9.418	9.696		
ılan		5.0	0.490	0.439	10.417	10.571		
imu	Yeast extract g/ L	8.0	0.472	0.432	10.292	10.461		
Bio stimulants		12.0	0.517	0.483	10.667	10.827		
Bi	2.5 g/L Humic acid	+ 8.0	0.540	0.527	10.923	11.056		
	g / L yeast extract							
LSD (0.05)			0.002	0.002	0.025	0.022		

values in chemical and pigments contents over than of basil herb the control treatment.

The simulative effect of N, P, and K on chemical contents of basil herb and pigments too could be attributed to that N, P, and K plays a vital role in plants as follows; Edmond *et al.*, 1981 concluded that nitrogen has an important role in increasing photosynthesis in plants and thus increased the total chlorophyll. Moreover, Bidwell,1979 demonstrated that phosphorus has a vital role in energy compounds and translocation the elements and tissues formation, as well as potassium is very important for translocation the carbohydrates, water balance and increasing the elements in plants part.

## b) Effect of bio-stimulants:

The obtained data in Tables (6 -8) reveal that N, P, K, total carbohydrates, chlorophyll a, b, and (a +b) and carotenoids in basil plants were significantly increased with the plants which treated by 2.5 g Humic acid/ L. + 8.0 g active dry yeast/ L, as foliar application in both growing seasons. Regarding the effect of bio- stimulants on plants, Humic acid, and active dry yeast; Tan (2003) pointed out that Humic acid usually utilized for plant

nutrition, promote the roots, it has an important role in related to its direct effect on physiological and bio processes in plant such as, the uptake of nutrients from the soil to plants.

In addition, Kurtzman and Fell (2005) and El- Naggar *et al.*, (2015) assured that active dry yeast releases CO<sub>2</sub>, which reflected in improving net photosynthesis, consequently increasing the pigments in plants. The results are in concurrence with those recorded by Bayat and Belopukhov (2019); who working with NPK fertilizer, Humic acid, and dry yeast, respectively. They confirmed that NPK, Humic acid and dry yeast increased the chemical contents and pigments of plants.

# Oil yield:

## a) Effect of NPK fertilization:

Data in Table (9) revealed that adding 2.5 NPK g/ pot, had a significant effect on oil yield of basil plants than untreated plants, in both seasons. These results are true in both cutting times and two growing seasons.

Table (9): Effect of NPK fertilizer and bio stimulants on of	il percentage of
basil plant at (2019 and 2020 seasons)	

Treatments		Oil per (20	centage 19)	Oil percentage (2020)		
	Γ			Cu	ıts	
		First	Second	First	Second	
0.0 (	control)		0.278	0.314	0.281	0.320
NPK	K g/ pot	2.5	0.297	0.329	0.301	0.336
	5.0			0.319	0.290	0.328
LSD	0 (0.05)		0.001 0.002 0.002 0.0			0.001
	0.0		0.218	0.246	0.220	0.252
	Humic acid g/ L	2.5	0.246	0.288	0.250	0.294
Bio stimulants		5.0	0.303	0.346	0.307	0.354
nul	Yeast extract g/ L	8.0	0.286	0.327	0.289	0.332
) stii		12.0	0.328	0.353	0.333	0.362
Bic	Image: Second state         Image: Second state		0.340	0.363	0.344	0.373
	L yeast extract					
LSD	LSD (0.05)			0.002	0.002	0.002

Respecting the role of NPK on oil yield of basil plants, the increase in oil yield was owing directly to the increase in vegetative growth (Tables, 4-5), high photosynthesis capacity expressed in leaf pigments and high N, P, and K contents in herb of basil (Tables, 6-8). These results are harmony with those reported by Novak *et al.* (2002) and Abd El – Fatah, (2017).

## b) Effect of bio-stimulants:

The results in Table (9) demonstrated that there was a significant difference in the studied bio-stimulants on oil yield of basil plants, where the treatment of 2.5 g/L Humic acid + 8 g/L dry yeast extract as foliar spray gave the maximum value of oil yield, compared to the untreated plants.

Concerning the effect of humic acid on oil yield of basil, may be due to the role of humic acid as a source of nutrients and increasing the soil fertility (Yang *et al.*, 2004 and Kalaichelvi *et al.* 2006,). Moreover, Fahramand *et al.*, (2014) illustrated that humic acid increased the hormonal growth responses, mainly nature hormones like; cytokinins, auxins and gibberellins that play a vital role in enhancing the enzymatic activities of the plants, consequently, increased the oil yield of basil plants. Regarding the effect of active dry yeast in increasing the oil yield of basil plant, Tiwari *et al.*, (2006) concluded that active dry yeast is capable of mobilizing nutritive elements from no usable form to usable form through biological processes, which in turn increased the yield of plants, like oil yield of basil plants.

As for this study, Tan (2003) and Kalaichelvi *et al.*, (2006) confirmed that humic acid enhancing the uptake of nutrients by acting in mobilizing nutrients and prevents its leaching which in turn decrease the use of inorganic fertilizers, besides increasing the efficiency of the fertilizers. These results are in concurrence with those recorded by Abou *Dahab et al.*, (2017), who working on humic acid, and Ezz El-Din and Hendawy, (2010), who working on dry yeast.

**Conclusively:** from this study and the obtained results, it can be concluded that the medium level of NPK at 2.5 g/ pot, 2.5 g/ L Humic acid + 8.0 g/ L dry yeast, and its interaction were recorded the highest values on plant growth characters, chemical contents, pigments, oil yield, and chemical constituents of volatile oils. As well as, the suitable rate of Humic acid and dry yeast extract can compensate the high level of NPK doses.

### REFERENCES

- Abd-El fattah, Shaymaa Ahmed (2017). Physiological effects of mycorrhizae, magnetite and Humic acid on basil plants grown under different levels of chemical fertilizers. Ph. D Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture - Cairo University Egypt
- Abou Dahab, T.A.M., H.A. Ashour, E.E.A. El-deeb and Saber M.M. Hend (2017). Response of *chamaedorea elegans*, mart. plants grown under different light intensity levels to chemical and organic fertilization treatments. *Journal of Horticultural Science* & Ornamental Plants, 9 (2): 72-85.
- Amer, S.S.A., (2004). Growth, green pods yield and seeds yield of common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) as affected by active dry yeast, salicylic acid and their interaction. J. Agric Sci. Mansoura Univ., 29(3): 1407-1422.
- A.O.A.C. (1980). Official Methods of Analysis. Association Official Agricultural chemist, Franklin Station. Washington, D.C.B.
- A.O.A.C., (2000). Official Methods of Analysis. 15<sup>th</sup> ed., Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, D.C., USA.
- **Bayat**, **Hamidreza**, and **Sergei Belopukhov**(2019). The effect of Humic acid, plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and seaweed on growth parameters, essential oil and chlorophyll content in sweet basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.). *Global Scientific Journal*,7, Issue 7, :19-33.
- **Bidwell R.G.S. (1979).** *Plant Physiology*. Collier Macumillan publishers, 276
- Charles, D.J. and Simon, J.E. (1990). Comparison of extraction methods for the rapid determination of essential oil content and composition of basil (Ocimum spp.). J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 115(3):458–462.
- Edmond, J.B.; Senn, T.L.; Znterw, F.S. and Hulfacre, A.G. (1981). *Fundamental of Horticulture*. Tata Mc Graw. Hill. Publishing Co., Limited, India.
- El-Naggar, A.H.M., M.R.A. Hassan, E.H. Shaban, and M.E.A. Mohamed, (2015). Effect of organic and biofertilizers on growth, oil yield and chemical composition of the essential oil of Ocimum basilicum L. Plants) Alex. J. Agric. Res., 60: 1,1-16.

- El-Sayed, A.A., S.H. El-Hanafy, and R.A. El-Ziat, (2015). Effect of chicken manure and Humic acid on herb and essential oil production of *Ocimum* sp.). *American-Eurasian J. Agric. & Environ. Sci.*, 15 (3): 367-379.
- El-Ziat,R.A., H.M. Swaefy and S.E.A. Esmail (2018). The response of red Rubin basil plant to organic fertilizer and Humic acid versus chemical fertilizers. *Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research*, 07 | Issue: 03 | July-Sept. | 740-751
- Ezz EL-Din, Azza and Hendawy, S.F. (2010). Effect of dry yeast and compost tea on growth and oil content of *Borago officinalis* plants. *Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Science*, 6(4): 424-430.
- Fahramand, M., H. Moradi, M. Noori, A. Sobhkhizi, M. Adibian, S. Abdollahi and K. Rigi, (2014). Influence of humic acid on increase yield of plants and soil properties. *Intl. J. Farm & Alli Sci.*, 3(3): 339-341.
- Kalaichelvi, K., C. Chinnusamy and A. Arul Swaminathan, (2006). Exploiting the natural resource - lignite Humic acid in agriculture. A *Review*, Agric. Rev., 27(4): 276-283.
- Koba K., P.W. Poutouli, C. Raynaud, J.P. Chaumont and K. Sanda, (2009). Chemical composition and antimicrobial properties of different basil essentials oils chemotypes from Togo. *Bangladesh J. Pharmacol.*; 4: 1–8.
- Kurtzman, C.P. and J.W. Fell, (2005). *Biodiversity and Ecophysiology of Yeasts*. (In: The Yeast Handbook, Gabor P, de la Rosa CL, eds) Berlin, Springer, 11-30.
- Lee, S.J., K. Umano, , T. Shibamoto, and K.G. Lee, (2005). Identification of volatile components in basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) and thyme leaves (*Thymus vulgaris* L.) and their antioxidant properties. *Food Chem.*, 91 (1), 131–137.
- Mohamed, Safaa. M., Abou El-Ghait, Eman M., Mohamed, Y.F.Y. andEman, G.M. El-Sabagh (2017). Integrated management of fertilizer (NPK, chicken manure and yeast) to improve the growth, oil productivity and the volatile oil constituents of Ocimum basilicum, L. var Genoves plant. Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research, 06 | Issue: 04 | Oct.-Dec. | 1155-1170.

- Novak, J., Jan, L., Friedrich, P. and Chlodwig, M.F. (2002). Essential oil compounds in a historical sample of marjoram (*Origanum majorana* L., *Lamiaceae*). *Flavour and Fragrance Journal*. 17: 175–180.
- **SAS Institute, (2004.)** SAS Procedures Guide, Version 6, third ed. SAS Institute, Cary.
- Snedecor, G.W., and W.G. Cochran, (1989). *Statistical Methods*. 8<sup>th</sup> Ed., Iowa State Univ., Press, Iowa, U.S.A.
- Tan, K.H. (2003). Humic Matter In Soil And Environment, Principles And Controversies. Marcel Dekker, Inc., Madison Avenue, New York.
- Thygesen, A., F.W. Poulsen, B. Min, I. Angelidaki and A.B. Thomsen, (2009). The effect of different substrates and Humic acid on power generation in microbial fuel cell operation. *Bioresource Technol.*,100: 1186-1191.
- Tiwari, R.P., S.K.B. Kaur, R. Dikshit and G.S. Hoondal, (2006). Synergistic antimicrobial activity of tea and antibiotics; *Indian J. Med. Res.*, 122: 180-186.
- Yang, C.M., M.H. Wang, Y.F. Lu, I. F. Chang and C.H. Chou, (2004). Humic substances affect the activity of chlorophyllase. J. Chem. Ecol., 30 (5): 1057-1065.

تأثير التسميد بالمركب المعدني (من النيتروجين والفوسفور والبوتاسيوم) وبعض المنشطات الحيوية على نمو ومحصول الزيت ومكوناته الكميائية لنبات الريحان الحلو.

محمد عصام ابو الصالحين -درويش محمد ابراهيم، عبد المحسن عبد الشافى هلال, قسم الانتاج النباتي-فرع الزينة والنباتات الطبية والعطرية كلية التكنولوجيا والتنمية – جامعة الزقازيق- مصر

اجرى هذا العمل خلال موسمى 2020,2019 فى مشتل كلية التكنولوجيا والتنمية - جامعة الزقازيق لتقيم امكانية استخدام حمض الهيوميك والخميرة الجافة النشطة فى الاستعاضة عن التسميد الكيماوى لاسراع النمو الخضرى, المحصول العشبى ومحصول الزيت.

اشتملت التجربة على 18 معاملة (3معدلات سماد نتروجين – الفوسفور -البوتاسيوم, 6 معدلات من حمض الهيوميك والخميرة الجافة, واستخدمت خمس نباتات كوحدة تجربية.

استخدم الثلاث معدلات من السماد النتروجين الفوسفائي البوتاسي بالتساوى للصف, 50% ,100% , على التوالي من الجرعة الموصى بها .

كانت الست رشات للمنشطات المختبرة هى (ماء الصنبور بدون اية اضافات كمعاملة مقارنة , 2.5 جم / لتر حمض الهيوميك ,5 جم/ لتر حمض الهيوميك ,8 جم /لتر خميرة جافة نشطة ,12 جم/لتر خميرة جافة نشطة,2 جم/لتر حمض هيوميك +8جم /لتر خميرة جافة نشطة .

صممت التجربة بنظام القطع المنشقة بحيث وزعت معدلات التسميد المعدني المركب في القطع الرئيسية بينما وزعت المنشطات الحيوية في القطع الفرعية.

امكن تلخيص النتائج المتحصل عليها كما يلى :

معاملات 2.5جم NPK/ اصيص, 2.5جم/ لتر حمض هيوميك+8جم/ لتر مستخلص الخميرة الجافة، التفاعل فيما بين معاملة 2.5جم NPK/اصيص مع 2.5 جم/لتر حمض الهيوميك + 8 جم /لترمستخلص الخميرة الجافة، كانت الاكثر فاعلية على

صفات النمو الخضرى، محصول الزيت والمحتوى الكيماوى للزيوت الطيارة لنباتات الريحان الحلو، متبوعة بالمعاملات 2.5جم/لتر NPK مع12جم/لتر مستخلص الخميرة الجافة، و2.5جم/ لتر NPK لكل اصيص مع5جم/ لتر حمض الهيوميك. على التوالى في معظم الحالات، في ميعادى القطع لهذة الدراسة. التوصية: من النتائج السابقة يمكن التوصية باستخدام جرعة تنشيطية من السماد المعدني المركب بمعدل 2.5 جرام مع الرش بمستخلص الخميرة الجافة بمعدل 12 جرام لكل لتر ماء بالاضافة 5 جرام من محلول حمض الهيوميك. كلمات مفتاحية : الريحان الحلو، التسميد النتروجيني الفوسفاتي البوتاسي، حمض الهيوميك، مستخلص الخميرة الجافة- المحتوى الكيماوى لمحصول الزيت.