

EVALUATED OF ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE, MEAN PERFORMANCE AND HETEROSIS FOR SOME AGRONOMIC TRAITS OF SEVEN INBRED LINES AND THEIR F₁ CROSSES OF YELLOW MAIZE

Hajar A. E. Daif, E.E. Hassan*, A. A. Hasaan and R. F. Nada

Plant Production Department. Faculty of Technology and Development. Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt.

e.mail: ragabnada090@gmail.com , hajr3405@gmail.com ,

ABSTRACT

The field experiment was performed during two successive summer seasons 2022 and 2023 at the experimental farm Faculty of Agricultural, Moshtohor, Banha University. Seven diverse maize inbred lines (line 635 (P₁), line 524 (P₂), line 423 (P₃), line 231 (P₄), line 418 (P₅), line 200 (P₆) and line 202 (P₇)). The lines were obtained from Faculty of Agricultural Moshtohor, Banha University, Egypt. To estimate of analysis of variance, mean performance and heterosis for plant height, ear height, flag leave area, stem diameter, number of leaves/plant, ear weight, number of ear/plant, ear length, ear diameter, number of rows/ear, number of kernels/row, cub weight, 100-kernel weight, kernel weight/ear and kernel yield/plant.

The results showed highly difference significant between the genotypes for all studies traits. The mean of square due to parents were highly significant for all studies traits except the kernel yield/ plant trait. Moreover, analysis of variance due to crosses was highly significant for all studies traits. While mean of square due to P vas F₁s were highly deference significant for all studies traits except ear diameter (cm). The mean performance of seven lines and their F₁ crosses for kernel yield/plant (g) it ranged from 31.7 to 67.0 (g) for parents, as well as it changed from 91.27to 405.5 (g) for crosses. The parents P₂, P₄ and the crosses (P₁ xP₆), (P₂ x P₄), (P₂ x P₆), and (P₂ x P₇), were given the highest values for kernel yield/plant. The present data of hetrosis for kernel yield/plant showed positive and highly significant heterosis over MP by all crosses except three crosses were positive and significant this crosses (P₂ x P₅), (P₃ x P₆) and (P₆ x P₇) . Moreover, heterosis was positive and highly significant heterosis relative to BP evaluated by all crosses. The results were indicating effectiveness selection in this respect.

Conclusively, the significantly of the studied traits indicated the presence of adequate genetic variability in the used genetic material. Mean Performance one of the most importance statistical analysis is the mean performance of tested material is which should be presented to identify the genetic variability existing among these material.

Key words: Maize, Analysis of variance, mean performance and heterosis.

INTRODUCTION

Maize or corn *Zea mays* L. (corn), is the most abundantly produced cereal in the world. It is grown in every continent except Antarctica. White, yellow, and red are the most common cultivated maize types. The white and yellow varieties are preferred by most people depending on the region. The global maize area (for dry grain) amounts to 197 M ha **FAO Stat, (2021)**. It is an established and important human food crop in a number of countries, especially in SSA, Latin America, and a few countries in Asia, where maize consumed as human food contributes over 20% of food calories **Shiferaw *et al.*, (2011)**.

In Egypt, it is used as human food, livestock and poultry feed as well as a raw material for industrial products such as oil and starch **Ali and Abdelaal (2020)**. Maize production in 2023 in Egypt was estimated at a near-average level of 7.1 million tons (**FAO 2024**). **El-Shamy (2023)**, in Egypt the maize is one of the most important strategic crops, because it is a food crop for humans and animals, and it is also used in many food industries, in addition to being one of the main imported crops, as the value of its imports represents about 13% of the total value of agricultural imports, so the government seeks to increase the total production of maize through horizontal expansion by increasing the cultivated area, or vertical expansion by increasing productivity. One solution for this is the development of a hybrid variety with higher yields and broader environment adaptability **Kinfe *et al.*, (2017)**. The first step to achieve these highly desirable characteristics of hybrid varieties is the development of promising inbred lines.

The identification of parental lines that perform superior hybrids is the most costly and time consuming phase in maize hybrid development. Performance of maize lines does not predict the performance of maize hybrids for kernel yields **Hallauer *et al.*, (2010)**.

Nada (2023) showed negative or positive and highly significant of heterosis over BP for plant height, ear height. number of rows/ear , hundred grain weight, shelling percentage and Grain yield of plant traits.

Tejaswini *et al.* (2023) revealed that Heterosis studies in maize ten hybrids recorded significantly positive standard heterosis while, ten hybrids recorded significantly negative standard heterosis for plant height. For ear girth, better-parent heterosis ranged from -21.18% (PFSR-73×PFSR-127) to 17.95% (BML-10×ML-14). Better-parent heterosis for number of kernel rows / ear ranged from -27.61% (MGC-49×BPDT-5009) to 16.67% (ML-14×PFSR-92). Five hybrids recorded significantly positive heterosis over the better parent for the trait. Better-parent heterosis for number of kernels/ row varied from -39.60% (ML14×BPDT-5009) to 50.70% (PFSR-73×PFSR-92) for number of

kernels/row. Better-parent heterosis for grain yield/plant ranged from -55.52% (MGC-49×BPDT-5009) to 44.65% (PFSR-73×ML-14).

Therefore, the main objectives of this study were studied the performance of some maize lines and their F₁crosses and the hybrid strength of some maize lines and their F₁crosses.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

1- Material and experimental design:

The field experiment was performed during two successive summer seasons 2022 and 2023 at the experimental farm Faculty of Agricultural, Moshtohor, Banha University. Seven diverse maize inbred lines i.e. (line 635 (P₁), line 524 (P₂), line 423 (P₃), line 231 (P₄), line 418 (P₅), line 200 (P₆) and line 202 (P₇)). These lines were obtained from Faculty of Agricultural Moshtohor, Banha University, Egypt. The origin of the seven maize lines are presented in Table (1).

Table (1):The origin and name of the seven maize lines under this study

Number	Name	Origin
P ₁	Line 635	Egypt (Moshtohor)
P ₂	Line 524	Egypt (Moshtohor)
P ₃	Line 423	Egypt (Moshtohor)
P ₄	Line 231	Egypt (Moshtohor)
P ₅	Line 418	Egypt (Moshtohor)
P ₆	Line 200	Egypt (Moshtohor)
P ₇	Line 202	Egypt (Moshtohor)

The lines were sown in the first season 2022 at 30 may. All possible parental combinations, excluding reciprocates were made among the seven parental lines to produce twenty-one crosses. Necessary precautions were adopted during the crossing operations to avoid contaminations of the genetic material. The seed of the twenty-one hybrids along with seven lines parents were grown in second summer season 2023 and evaluated under all recommend practices for maize productions were applied from sowing till harvesting. Using randomized complete block design in three replications. The experimental plot consist six rows 6 m., long and 70 cm for width and inter between plant distances was kept 20 cm.

2- The following data were recorded individual plant basis:

A. Plant growth and morphological traits:

Plant height (cm), ear height (cm), stem diameter (cm), number of green leaves /plant and flag leave area (cm²).

B. Kernel yield and its Contributing:

Ear length (cm), ear diameter (cm), cub weight (g), number of rows/ear, number of ears/plants, ear weight (g), number of kernels/rows, 100-kernel weight, kernel weight/ear and kernel yield/plant.

3- Diallel analysis:

The collected data were subjected to the standard analysis of variance of the randomized complete blocks design according to **Snedecor and Cochran (1994)**.

3-1. Heterosis assessment:

a- Heterosis over the mid-parental value (Relative heterosis)

$$H.MP = \frac{F_1 - MP}{F_1} \times 100$$

Where : F₁ and MP are the average performance of the F₁ and the mid-parental values, respectively.

b- Heterosis over better parent (heterobeltiosis)

$$H.BP = \frac{F_1 - BP}{F_1} \times 100$$

Where BP is the average performance of the better parents

The significant of heterosis was estimated using the following formula:

RESULT AND DISCUSSION**A. Analysis of variance:**

The present data in Tables (2, 3 and 4), shown the mean of square for seven maize lines and their F₁ crosses for plant height, ear height, flag leave area, stem diameter, number of leaves/plant, ear weight, number of ear/plant, ear length, ear diameter, number of rows/ear, number of kernels/row, cub weight, 100-kernel weight, kernel weight/ear and kernel yield/plant. The results showed highly difference significant between the genotypes for all studies traits. The significantly of the studied traits indicated the presence of adequate genetic variability in the used genetic material. These results are in agreement with finding of **Al-Wardy (2017)**, **Ejigu *et al.*, (2017)**, **Jakhar *et al.* (2017)**, **Rehap *et al.* (2021)** and **Nada (2023)**

The mean of square due to parents were highly significant for all studies traits except the kernels yield/ plant trait. Moreover, analysis of variance due to crosses were highly significant for all studies traits. While mean of square due to P vas F₁s were highly deference significant for all studies traits except ear diameter (cm). These results are in agreement with finding of **Tesfaye and Sime (2021)** observed that the analysis of variance showed there is highly significant variation between the hybrids for all the traits considered.

Table (2). Mean of square for some morphological traits in 7 inbred lines and their F₁ crosses.

SO V	df	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Stem diameter (cm)	Number of green leaves/plant	Flag leaf area (cm ²)
Rep.	2	10.78	7.96	0.07	0.27	85.73
Genotypes	27	2902.83**	843.45**	0.33**	6.13**	59031.11**
Parental	6	11535.98**	2760.55**	1.29**	43.59**	74199.50**
Crosses	20	20796.19**	5602.33**	1.93**	64.80**	188058.36**
P vas F1s	1	41316.61**	8943.94**	1.22**	99.38**	951328.36**
Error	54	9.57	6.21	0.06	0.43	142.11
Total	83					

*and ** = Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

Table (3). Mean of square for some yield attributes traits in 7 inbred lines and their F₁ crosses

SO V	df	Ear weight (g)	Number of ears /plant	Ear length/cm	Ear diameter/cm	Number of rows/ear
Rep.	2	18.23	0.04	1.56	0.25	1.86
Genotypes	27	3888.76**	0.79**	12.96**	0.97**	19.29**
Parental	6	2372.99**	0.55**	76.78**	6.22**	48.33**
Crosses	20	12551.91**	1.85**	131.54**	6.49**	112.82**
P vas F1s	1	68494.85**	4.17**	164.82**	0.21	289.29**
Error	54	3.43	0.12	0.55	0.12	1.61
Total	83					

*and ** = Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

B. Mean performance:

One of the most importance statistical analysis is the mean performance of tested material, which should be presented to identify the genetic variability existing among these material for plant height, ear height, flag leave area, stem diameter, number of leaves/plant, ear weight, number of ear/plant, ear length, ear diameter, number of rows/ear, number of kernels/row, cub weight, 100-kernel weight, kernel weight/ear and kernel yield/plant.

Table (4). Mean of square for kernel yield and its components trait in 7 inbred lines and their F₁ crosses.

SOV	df	Number of kernels/row	Cob weight/g	100-kernels weight	Kernel weight/ear	Kernel yield/plant
Rep.	2	0.23	12.73	2.67	41.24	106.49
Genotypes	27	163.50**	139.81**	88.37**	3317.56**	22376.62**
Parental	6	184.89**	241.08**	292.35**	1667.85**	1706.91
Crosses	20	520.51**	446.12**	615.01**	8785.87**	35174.43**
P vas F1s	1	2298.10**	362.02**	788.39**	59392.22**	243792.90**
Error	54	4.55	1.17	1.31	5.27	1125.62
Total	83					

*and ** = Significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels of probability, respectively

Plant height is one of the most importance goals of maize breeding programs. Therefore, corn breeders should be select short maize plants that are resistant to lodging and suitable for mechanical harvesting. The mean performance of plant height for parents and their F₁ crosses are given in **Table (5)**. Plant height ranged from 125.5 to 190.0 cm for parental Lines and from 153.5 to 237.5 cm for F₁ crosses. The parents P₁ and P₂ and F₁ crosses (P₁×P₅), (P₁×P₆), (P₂×P₅) and (P₂×P₃) were the shortest genotypes. It was observed that parent P₆ and cross (P₅×P₇) were the tallest genotypes. These results indicate that genes controlling plant height were transmitted from the parents to the F₁ progeny. **Mousa *et al.*, (2014)** showed that mean performance of 21 crosses and two checks Sc₁₀ and Sc₁₂₈ for ten studied traits over locations. great variation were found among the F₁ crosses for all traits, from 253.0 to 294.5 cm for plant height. The mean performance of ear height for parents and their F₁ crosses ear height ranged from 57.5 to 91.3 cm for parental and from 76.8 to 122.8 cm for F₁ crosses. The parents P₁ and P₂ and F₁ crosses (P₁×P₆), (P₁×P₇), (P₂×P₅) and (P₂×P₇) and (P₃×P₇) were the shortest genotypes. It was observed that parent P₆ and cross (P₃×P₅) were the tallest genotypes. These results indicate that genes controlling plant height were transmitted from the parents to the F₁ progeny. In addition **Zare *et. al.*, (2011)**. Stem diameter is one of the most important measurements that plant breeders must take into consideration, as increasing stem diameter leads to stem stiffness and resistance to lodging. The mean performance of stem diameter it ranged from 1 to 2 cm for parents and changed from 1.4 to 2.6 cm for F₁ crosses. The parents P₄, P₆ and P₇ as well as crosses (P₂×P₆), (P₃×P₇), (P₄ x P₇) and (P₅ X P₇) were given highest values for stem diameter. **Nada (2023)** reported that the largest stem diameter the crosses P₁×P₃ (3.6 cm), and P₃×P₄ (3.5 cm). The mean performance of number of green leaves/plant for parents and their F₁ crosses. it ranged from 7.7 to 11.0 for parents and changed from 11.3 to 13.5 cm for F₁ crosses. The

Table 5. Mean performance for some morphological traits in 7 inbred lines and their F₁ crosses.

Genotypes	Plant height (cm)	Ear height (cm)	Stem diameter (cm)	Number of green leaves/plant	Flag leaf area (cm ²)
Line 635 (P ₁)	125.0	61.3	1.0	8.0	327.7
Line 524 (P ₂)	133.7	57.5	1.4	7.7	318.8
Line 423 (P ₃)	160.0	70.0	1.4	10.3	239.5
Line 231 (P ₄)	153.7	77.5	1.7	10.5	376.9
Line 418 (P ₅)	139.4	82.5	1.5	11.0	360.7
Line 200 (P ₆)	190.0	91.3	2.0	9.7	507.7
Line 202 (P ₇)	162.5	72.5	1.7	8.3	339.0
P ₁ x P ₂	210.7	91.8	1.7	12.7	587.9
P ₁ x P ₃	193.7	82.5	1.8	12.5	538.1
P ₁ x P ₄	220.0	92.5	1.6	11.3	684.6
P ₁ x P ₅	153.5	105.6	1.5	11.3	444.3
P ₁ x P ₆	177.5	76.8	1.8	12.0	494.7
P ₁ x P ₇	200.0	92.5	1.8	11.7	598.5
P ₂ x P ₃	180.1	108.1	1.4	11.0	558.5
P ₂ x P ₄	210.0	108.7	1.7	10.3	660.5
P ₂ x P ₅	177.5	77.5	1.6	12.0	561.5
P ₂ x P ₆	223.7	120.0	2.6	12.3	782.7
P ₂ x P ₇	184.5	78.7	1.6	11.3	485.5
P ₃ x P ₄	210.0	106.3	1.4	12.7	496.2
P ₃ x P ₅	235.0	122.8	1.6	11.5	671.3
P ₃ x P ₆	184.4	77.5	1.8	11.7	648.5
P ₃ x P ₇	230.7	92.8	2.1	12.7	535.2
P ₄ x P ₅	210.6	100.0	1.6	13.5	512.0
P ₄ x P ₆	211.4	103.1	2.0	11.7	570.5
P ₄ x P ₇	219.4	108.7	2.5	11.3	661.8
P ₅ x P ₆	190.0	102.5	1.7	12.0	619.1
P ₅ x P ₇	237.5	103.1	2.0	11.3	784.4
P ₆ x P ₇	208.3	86.3	1.7	12.5	675.9
Mean	190.5	91.1	1.7	11.2	537.2
L. S. D _{0.05}	5.028	4.047	0.382	1.063	19.369
L.S.D _{0.01}	6.670	5.370	0.506	1.410	25.696

parents P₅ followed by P₄ as well as crosses (P₄×P₅), (P₁ x P₂), (P₁ x P₃) and (P₃ x P₄) were given highest values for number of green/plant. Flag leave area contributed directly and in directly with great grain yield variation, the present data show significant difference among the seven parents and their F₁ crosses, the mean performance for flag leave area ranged from 239.5 to 507.7 cm² for parents. Moreover, for F₁ crosses it ranged from 444.3 to 784.4 cm². The parent P₆ and crosses (P₅ X P₇) (P₂ x P₆), and (P₁x P₄) were given highest values for flag leave area cm².

The mean performance of seven lines and their F₁ crosses for ear length presented in **Table (6)**, it ranged from 10 to 14.7 cm for parents, as well as it changed from 13.03 to 19.0 cm for crosses. The parent P₂ and the crosses (P₄ x P₆), (P₅ X P₇), (P₁ X P₂), (P₃ x P₇) were given the highest values for ear length cm. but the parent P₃ and cross (P₆ X P₇) were given lowest values for this trait. The mean performance for ear diameter it ranged from 2.7 to 4.2 cm for parents, as well as it changed from 2.2 to 4.3 cm for crosses. The parent P₁ and the crosses, (P₂ x P₄), (P₃ x P₄) and (P₅ x P₆) were given the highest values for ear diameter cm. but the parent P₆ and cross (P₂ X P₃) were given lowest values for this trait. The mean performance for cub weight trait ranged from 14.3 to 27.7 cm for parents, as well as it changed from 11.4 to 39.7 cm for crosses. The parent P₇ and the crosses, (P₂ x P₄), (P₂ x P₅), (P₄ x P₅), (P₄ x P₆), (P₄ x P₇) and (P₅ x P₇) were given the highest values for cub weight. But the parent P₅ and cross (P₃ x P₆) were given lowest values for this trait.

The mean performance of seven lines and their F₁ crosses for number of rows/ear it ranged from 8.00 to 12.00 for parents, as well as it changed from 10.7 to 18 rows for crosses. The parent P₆ and the crosses (P₁ x P₅), (P₁ x P₆), (P₂ x P₃), (P₂ x P₄), (P₃ x P₄), (P₃ x P₇) (P₄ x P₇) and (P₅ x P₇), were given the highest values for number of rows/ear. But the parent P₄ and cross (P₂ x P₆) were given lowest values for this trait. The mean performance of seven lines and their F₁ crosses for ear weight (g) it ranged from 57.0 to 83.3 (g) for parents, as well as it changed from 106.3 to 197.0 (g) for crosses. The parent P₂ and the crosses (P₁ x P₇), (P₂ x P₄), (P₂ x P₆), (P₂ x P₇), (P₄ x P₅) and (P₅ x P₇), were given the highest values for ear weight. But the parent P₁ and cross (P₁ x P₃) were given lowest values for this trait. The results are agreement with reported by **Nada (2023)**.

The present data in **Table (7)** show the mean performance of seven lines and their F₁ crosses for number of ears/plant, the mean performance it ranged from 1.0 to 1.3 (ear) for parents, as well as it changed from 1.00 to 2.9 (ear) for crosses. The parent P₇ and the crosses (P₁ x P₂), (P₂ x P₄), (P₂ x P₆), (P₂ x P₇) and (P₄ x P₆), were given the highest values for number of ears/plant. The mean performance of seven lines and their F₁ crosses for number of

Table 6. Mean performance for some ear traits in 7 inbred lines and their F₁ crosses.

Genotypes	Ear length/cm	Ear diameter/cm	Cub weight/g	Number of rows/ear	Ear weight (g)
Line 635 (P ₁)	12.7	4.2	25.3	10.7	57.0
Line 524 (P ₂)	14.7	3.7	16.3	8.0	83.3
Line 423 (P ₃)	10.0	2.8	17.3	8.7	64.3
Line 231 (P ₄)	13.7	4.1	15.2	8.0	76.3
Line 418 (P ₅)	11.0	3.2	14.3	10.7	74.7
Line 200 (P ₆)	12.7	2.7	16.8	12.0	68.7
Line 202 (P ₇)	13.3	3.5	27.7	10.0	65.3
P ₁ x P ₂	17.7	2.7	18.5	12.0	107.0
P ₁ x P ₃	16.7	3.8	27.3	12.7	106.3
P ₁ x P ₄	14.77	3.13	16.90	12.67	119.0
P ₁ x P ₅	14.7	3.6	20.0	14.0	132.3
P ₁ x P ₆	16.7	3.3	17.6	14.7	138.0
P ₁ x P ₇	15.4	3.6	21.7	13.3	152.3
P ₂ x P ₃	14.0	2.2	18.2	14.7	130.3
P ₂ x P ₄	15.0	4.3	28.9	15.3	171.7
P ₂ x P ₅	16.3	2.6	29.0	14.0	115.0
P ₂ x P ₆	15.7	2.9	18.7	10.7	162.5
P ₂ x P ₇	16.3	3.6	22.8	12.7	151.3
P ₃ x P ₄	13.7	4.2	17.0	16.0	131.5
P ₃ x P ₅	15.7	2.9	24.5	13.3	113.0
P ₃ x P ₆	14.7	3.0	11.4	13.3	103.3
P ₃ x P ₇	17.3	3.3	26.3	14.7	143.0
P ₄ x P ₅	15.7	2.3	35.8	14.0	163.7
P ₄ x P ₆	19.0	3.7	30.3	13.3	123.3
P ₄ x P ₇	15.5	3.7	30.7	18.0	135.7
P ₅ x P ₆	15.7	4.0	19.3	12.7	141.2
P ₅ x P ₇	18.7	3.3	39.7	18.0	197.0
P ₆ x P ₇	13.03	3.83	25.05	14.00	116.3
Mean	15.0	3.4	22.6	12.9	119.4
l. S. D _{0.05}	1.204	0.563	1.759	2.062	3.008
L.S.D _{0.01}	1.597	0.746	2.333	2.735	3.991

Table 7. Mean performance for yield and its components traits in 7 inbred lines and their F₁ crosses.

Genotypes	Number of ear/plant	Number of kernels/row	100-kernel weight/g	Kernel weight/ear	Kernel yield/plant
Line 635 (P ₁)	1.0	17.0	30.0	31.7	31.7
Line 524 (P ₂)	1.0	17.7	24.3	67.0	67.0
Line 423 (P ₃)	1.0	14.0	25.0	47.0	47.0
Line 231 (P ₄)	1.0	15.3	23.7	61.2	61.2
Line 418 (P ₅)	1.0	12.7	21.0	60.3	60.3
Line 200 (P ₆)	1.2	23.0	26.3	51.8	60.8
Line 202 (P ₇)	1.3	23.0	22.8	34.7	47.0
P ₁ x P ₂	2.3	32.0	27.9	88.5	206.7
P ₁ x P ₃	1.7	25.0	40.3	79.0	131.3
P ₁ x P ₄	1.03	32.00	24.97	102.10	102.10
P ₁ x P ₅	1.5	24.0	31.9	113.3	169.5
P ₁ x P ₆	1.8	23.07	26.4	120.4	220.6
P ₁ x P ₇	1.0	29.7	30.1	130.7	130.7
P ₂ x P ₃	1.8	34.0	31.1	112.2	205.3
P ₂ x P ₄	2.0	25.0	34.7	142.8	285.5
P ₂ x P ₅	1.3	29.0	37.0	86.0	112.7
P ₂ x P ₆	2.9	32.0	35.6	141.7	405.5
P ₂ x P ₇	2.3	32.0	25.8	128.5	299.3
P ₃ x P ₄	1.7	22.0	26.7	114.5	191.2
P ₃ x P ₅	1.7	34.0	39.0	88.5	152.9
P ₃ x P ₆	1.0	24.0	24.8	91.9	91.9
P ₃ x P ₇	1.7	24.0	37.3	116.7	194.2
P ₄ x P ₅	1.1	23.7	34.1	127.8	127.8
P ₄ x P ₆	2.0	37.7	26.8	93.0	186.0
P ₄ x P ₇	1.1	40.0	35.7	105.0	115.4
P ₅ x P ₆	1.3	34.3	31.1	121.9	162.9
P ₅ x P ₇	1.0	37.7	36.7	155.0	155.0
P ₆ x P ₇	1.0	26.67	30.33	91.27	91.27
Mean	1.5	26.6	30.0	96.6	146.9
L. S. D _{0.05}	0.557	3.465	1.861	3.730	54.513
L.S.D _{0.01}	0.740	4.597	2.469	4.948	72.319

kernels/row it ranged from 12.7 to 23.00 (kernels) for parents, as well as it changed from 22.0 to 40.0 (kernel) for crosses. The parents P₆, P₇ and the crosses (P₁ x P₂), (P₁ x P₄), (P₂ x P₃), (P₂ x P₆), (P₂ x P₇), (P₃ x P₅), (P₄ x P₆), (P₄

x P₇), (P₅ x P₆) and (P₅ x P₇) were given the highest values for number of kernels/row. But the parent P₅ and cross (P₃ x P₄) were given lowest values for this trait. The mean performance for 100-kernel weight (g) it ranged from 21.00 to 30.00 (g) for parents, as well as it changed from 24.8 to 40.3 (g) for crosses. The parents P₁, P₆ and the crosses (P₁ x P₃), (P₁ x P₅), (P₂ x P₃), (P₂ x P₄), (P₂ x P₅), (P₂ x P₆), (P₃ x P₅), (P₃ x P₇), (P₄ x P₅), (P₄ x P₇) and (P₅ x P₇) were given the highest values for 100-kernel weight. But the parent P₅ and cross (P₃ x P₆) were given lowest values for this trait. The mean performance kernels weight/ear (g) it ranged from 31.7 to 67.0 (g) for parents, as well as it changed from 79.0 to 155.0 (g) for crosses. The parents P₂, P₄ and the crosses (P₁ x P₇), (P₂ x P₄), (P₂ x P₆) and (P₅ x P₇), were given the highest values for kernels weight/ear. But the parent P₁ and cross (P₁ x P₃) were given lowest values for this trait. The mean performance of seven lines and their F₁ crosses for kernels yield/plant (g) it ranged from 31.7 to 67.0 (g) for parents, as well as it changed from 91.27 to 405.5 (g) for crosses. The parents P₂, P₄ and the crosses (P₁ x P₆), (P₂ x P₄), (P₂ x P₆), and (P₂ x P₇), were given the highest values for kernels yield/plant. But the parent P₁ and cross (P₆ x P₇) were given lowest values for this trait.

The results indicating effectiveness of selection in these respects. **Mukhlif et al., (2021)** showed that the significant differences in the days to 50% silking, plant height, number of ears/plant number of kernels/row), 300 kernel weight distinguished by its superiority in the trait of plant height, number of ears / plant and kernel yield plant. The inbred line in 300 grain weight and pure line in the trait of number of grains/rows the cross (5x7) was the best hybrid in traits, with 300 grain weight and plant kernel yield.

C. Heterosis and heterobilitosis, %:

The results for plant height in **Table (8)**, the all crosses showed positive and highly significant heterosis over MP. Moreover heterosis were positive and highly significant heterosis relative to better parent recorded by crosses (P₁ x P₂), (P₁ x P₃), (P₁ x P₄), (P₁ x P₅), (P₁ x P₇), (P₂ x P₃), (P₂ x P₄), (P₂ x P₅), (P₂ x P₆), (P₂ x P₇), (P₃ x P₄), (P₃ x P₅), (P₃ x P₇), (P₄ x P₅), (P₄ x P₆), (P₄ x P₇), (P₅ X P₇) and (P₆ X P₇). Moreover the result for ear height, showed positive and highly significant heterosis over MP by crosses (P₁ x P₂), (P₁ x P₃), (P₁ x P₄), (P₁ x P₅), (P₁ x P₇), (P₂ x P₃), (P₂ x P₄), (P₂ x P₅), (P₂ x P₆), (P₂ x P₇), (P₃ x P₄), (P₃ x P₅), (P₃ x P₇), (P₄ x P₅), (P₄ x P₆), (P₄ x P₇), (P₅ X P₆), (P₅ X P₇) and (P₆ X P₇). Meanwhile heterosis were positive and highly significant heterosis relative to better parent recorded by crosses (P₁ x P₂), (P₁ x P₃), (P₁ x P₄), (P₁ x P₅), (P₁ x P₇), (P₂ x P₃), (P₂ x P₄), (P₂ x P₆), (P₂ x P₇), (P₃ x P₄), (P₃ x P₅), (P₃ x P₇), (P₄ x P₅), (P₄ x P₆), (P₄ x P₇), (P₅ X P₆) and (P₅ X P₇).

The results for stem diameter showed positive and highly significant heterosis over MP by crosses ($P_1 \times P_2$), ($P_1 \times P_3$), ($P_2 \times P_6$), ($P_3 \times P_7$), ($P_4 \times P_7$) and ($P_5 \times P_7$). As well as heterosis were positive and highly significant heterosis relative to better parent recorded by crosses ($P_1 \times P_2$), ($P_1 \times P_3$), ($P_2 \times P_5$), ($P_2 \times P_6$), ($P_3 \times P_7$), ($P_4 \times P_7$) and ($P_5 \times P_7$). The result for flag leave area showed positive and highly significant heterosis over MP by all crosses. Meanwhile heterosis were positive and highly significant heterobilitosis relative to better parent recorded by all crosses ($P_1 \times P_6$). The result for number of green leaves/plant showed positive and highly significant heterosis over MP by all crosses. Moreover, heterosis were positive and highly significant heterosis relative to better parent recorded by all crosses except ($P_1 \times P_5$), ($P_2 \times P_4$) and ($P_5 \times P_7$). The results were agreement with reported by **Kamal *et al.*, (2023)**, **Nada (2023)**, **Tejaswini *et al.*, (2023)**, **Mishra *et al.*, (2024)** and **Waghmare *et al.*, (2024)**

The present data for ear weight (g) in **Table (9)**, show positive and highly significant heterosis over MP by all crosses. Also, heterosis was positive and highly significant heterobilitosis relative to better parent recorded by all crosses. The result of number of ears/plant showed positive and highly significant heterosis over MP by crosses ($P_1 \times P_2$), ($P_1 \times P_3$), ($P_1 \times P_6$), ($P_2 \times P_3$), ($P_2 \times P_4$), ($P_2 \times P_6$), ($P_2 \times P_7$), ($P_3 \times P_4$), ($P_3 \times P_5$) and ($P_4 \times P_6$). Meanwhile heterosis were positive and highly significant heterosis relative to BP evaluated by crosses ($P_1 \times P_2$), ($P_1 \times P_3$), ($P_1 \times P_5$), ($P_2 \times P_3$), ($P_2 \times P_4$), ($P_2 \times P_6$), ($P_2 \times P_7$), ($P_3 \times P_4$), ($P_3 \times P_5$), ($P_3 \times P_7$) and ($P_4 \times P_6$). The result of hetrosis for ear length in Table (9), showed positive and highly significant heterosis over MP by crosses($P_1 \times P_2$), ($P_1 \times P_3$), ($P_1 \times P_4$), ($P_1 \times P_5$), ($P_1 \times P_6$), ($P_1 \times P_7$), ($P_2 \times P_3$), ($P_2 \times P_5$), ($P_2 \times P_6$), ($P_2 \times P_7$), ($P_3 \times P_4$), ($P_3 \times P_5$), ($P_3 \times P_6$), ($P_3 \times P_7$), ($P_4 \times P_5$), ($P_4 \times P_6$), ($P_4 \times P_7$), ($P_5 \times P_6$) and ($P_5 \times P_7$). Meanwhile heterosis were positive and highly significant heterosis relative to BP obtained by crosses ($P_1 \times P_2$), ($P_1 \times P_3$), ($P_1 \times P_4$), ($P_1 \times P_5$), ($P_1 \times P_6$), ($P_1 \times P_7$), ($P_2 \times P_5$), ($P_2 \times P_6$), ($P_2 \times P_7$), ($P_3 \times P_5$), ($P_3 \times P_6$), ($P_3 \times P_7$), ($P_4 \times P_5$), ($P_4 \times P_6$), ($P_4 \times P_7$), ($P_5 \times P_6$) and ($P_5 \times P_7$). The result of hetrosis for ear diameter, showed positive and highly significant heterosis over MP by crosses ($P_3 \times P_4$), ($P_5 \times P_6$) and ($P_6 \times P_7$). Meanwhile heterosis were positive and highly significant heterosis relative to BP recorded by crosses ($P_5 \times P_6$) and ($P_6 \times P_7$). The result of hetrosis for cub weight recorded the positive and highly significant heterosis over MP by crosses ($P_1 \times P_3$), ($P_2 \times P_4$), ($P_2 \times P_5$), ($P_2 \times P_6$), ($P_3 \times P_5$), ($P_3 \times P_7$), ($P_4 \times P_5$), ($P_4 \times P_6$), ($P_4 \times P_7$), ($P_5 \times P_6$), ($P_5 \times P_7$) and ($P_6 \times P_7$). Moreover, heterosis were positive and highly significant heterosis relative to BP evaluated by crosses ($P_1 \times P_2$), ($P_2 \times P_3$), ($P_2 \times P_4$), ($P_2 \times P_5$), ($P_2 \times P_6$), ($P_3 \times P_5$), ($P_4 \times P_5$), ($P_4 \times P_6$), ($P_4 \times P_7$), ($P_5 \times P_6$) and ($P_5 \times P_7$). The results were agreement with reported by **Tejaswini *et al.*, (2023)**, **Mishra *et al.*, (2024)** and **Waghmare *et al.*, (2024)**.

The present data of heterosis for number of rows/ear in **Table (10)**, showed positive and highly significant heterosis over MP by all crosses except two crosses ($P_2 \times P_6$) and ($P_5 \times P_6$). Meanwhile heterosis were positive and highly significant heterosis relative to BP evaluated by all crosses except the one cross ($P_2 \times P_6$). The heterosis over MP by for number of kernels/row showed positive and highly significant the all crosses. While heterosis were positive and highly significant heterosis relative to BP evaluated by all crosses except the one cross ($P_1 \times P_6$), ($P_3 \times P_6$) and ($P_3 \times P_7$) were the positive and non-significant heterosis relative to BP. The result of heterosis for 100-kernel weight showed positive and highly significant heterosis over MP by crosses ($P_1 \times P_3$), ($P_1 \times P_5$), ($P_1 \times P_7$), ($P_2 \times P_3$), ($P_2 \times P_4$), ($P_2 \times P_5$), ($P_2 \times P_6$), ($P_2 \times P_7$), ($P_3 \times P_4$), ($P_3 \times P_5$), ($P_3 \times P_7$), ($P_4 \times P_5$), ($P_4 \times P_6$), ($P_4 \times P_7$), ($P_5 \times P_6$), ($P_5 \times P_7$) and ($P_6 \times P_7$). Meanwhile heterosis were positive and highly significant heterosis relative to BP evaluated by crosses ($P_1 \times P_3$), ($P_1 \times P_5$), ($P_2 \times P_3$), ($P_2 \times P_4$), ($P_2 \times P_5$), ($P_2 \times P_6$), ($P_2 \times P_7$), ($P_3 \times P_4$), ($P_3 \times P_5$), ($P_3 \times P_7$), ($P_4 \times P_5$), ($P_4 \times P_7$), ($P_5 \times P_6$), ($P_5 \times P_7$) and ($P_6 \times P_7$). Moreover the data of heterosis for kernel weight/ear recorded positive and highly significant heterosis over MP by all crosses. Moreover, heterosis were positive and highly significant heterosis relative to BP evaluated by all crosses. The present data of heterosis for kernel yield/plant showed positive and highly significant heterosis over MP by all crosses except three crosses were positive and significant this crosses ($P_2 \times P_5$), ($P_3 \times P_6$) and ($P_6 \times P_7$). Moreover, heterosis were positive and highly significant heterosis relative to BP evaluated by all crosses. The results were garment with reported by **Kamal et al., (2023)**, **Nada (2023)**, **Tejaswini et al., (2023)**, **Mishra et al., (2024)** and **Waghmare et al., (2024)** inundation **Abdel-Moneam et al., (2024)** observed that the greatest cross combinations were eight crosses for kernel yield/plant. Nine single crosses manifested positive and highly significant heterosis over mid and better parents (ranged from 193.95% for cross Inb. 103 X Inb. 309 to 865.36% for cross Inb. 27 X Inb. 103 over mid parent and from 115.70% for cross Inb. 103 x Inb. 309 to 686.13% for cross Inb. 48 x Inb. 103 over better parent) for grain yield/plant.

Conclusion

The significantly of the studied traits indicated the presence of adequate genetic variability in the used genetic material. Mean Performance one of the most importance statistical analysis is the mean performance of tested material is which should be presented to identify the genetic variability existing among these material.

REFERENCE

- Abdel-Moneam, M. A., M. S. Sultan., A. M. Khalil and Hend E. El-Awady (2024)** Heterosis and combining abilities for certain maize inbreds and their F1 crosses relating to quality traits, yield, and its components. *J. of Plant Production, Mansoura Univ.*, 15 (5):225 – 233.
- Ali, O.A.M., M.S.M. Abdelaal (2020)**. Effect of irrigation intervals on growth, productivity and quality of some yellow maize genotypes. *Egyptian Journal of Agronomy*. 42(2):105-122.
- Al-wardy M. I. Z (2017)** Estimation hybrid vigor and effect of the general combining ability and specific in maize by using factorial mating. *Al-furat journal of agricultural sciences*,9(4): 869-880.
- Anonymous (2024)** Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Statistical Database. 2024. Available online: <http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data> (accessed on 3 January 2024).
- Anonymous (2021)**. FAO Stat. FAO, Rome. <http://www.fao.org/faostat>
- Ejigu y. G., P. B. Tongoona and B. E. Ifie (2017)** General and specific combining ability studies of selected tropical white maize inbred lines for yield and yield related traits. *International Journal Of Agricultural Science and research (ijasr)*, (7): 381-396.
- El Shamy F. M. Neame (2023)**. Indicators of productivity and economic efficiency of maize in Egypt. *Assiut Journal Of Agricultural Sciences*, 54, (1): 284-297.
- Hallauer, A.R.; M.J. Carena, J.B. Miranda Filho, (2010)** Quantitative genetics in maize breeding, 3rd ed.; springer: Dordrecht/Heidelberg, Germany; London, UK, 2010; p. 663
- Jakhar D. S., r. Singh., A. K chandel., C. Kumar and V. K. Ojha (2017)** Mean performance and analysis of variance of thirty genotypes for twelve characters studied in maize (*Zea mays* l.). *International Journal Of Current Microbiology And Applied Sciences*, 6(4): 2782-2787.
- Kamal N., Saeeda khanum., M. Siddique., M. Saeed., M. F. Ahmed., M. T. A. Kalyar., S. U. Rehman and B. Mahmood (2023)** Heterosis and combining ability studies in a 5 x 5 diallel crosses of maize inbred lines. *J. Appl. Res Plant Sci.*, 4(1): 419-424.
- Kinfe, H., Tsehaye, Y., Redda, A., Welegebriel, R., Yalew, D., Gebrelibanos, W., Seid, H. (2017)**. Evaluating adaptability and yield performance of open pollinated maize varieties in North Western Tigray. *Advances in Crop Science and Technology*, 05(06).
- Mishra D. K., B. Singh., M. Chakraborty., N. Verma., S.S Surin., and S.K Mishra (2024)** Combining ability and heterosis in maize (*Zea mays*L.) inbred lines. *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports.*, 30 (6):943-954.

- Mousa S. T. M. (2014)** Diallel analysis for physiological traits and grain yield of seven white maize inbred lines. *Alex. J. Agric. Res.*,59 (1) 9-17.
- Mukhlif F. H., A. S. A. Ramadan and A.M. A. Alkaisy (2021)** Heterosis, genetic parameters of maize (*Zea mays* L.) .Using the half diallel cross method. *Annals of R.S.C.B*; 25 (5): 1257 – 1269.
- Nada R. F. (2023)** Estimated analysis of heterosis, combining ability and correlation for some agronomic traits of ten double-crosses in white maize. *International Journal of Chemical and Biochemical Sciences*, 24,(11): 265-273.
- Rehab M. M. Habiba; k. A. M. Khaled; H. O. Sakr and aisha S. S. Gad (2021)** Heterosis, combining ability and gene action, for yield and yield component traits in maize-teosinte hybrids. *J. Of Agricultural Chemistry And Biotechnology, Mansoura Univ.*, 12 (12):225 – 231.
- Shiferaw, B., B. Prasanna, J. Hellin, and M. Banziger, (2011).** Crops that feed the world 6. Past successes and future challenges to the role played by maize in global food security. *Food Security*, 3, 307–327.
- Snedecor G.W., and W.G. Cochran, (1994)** "*Statistical Methods*", 9th ed. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa. USA.
- Tejaswini N., K. Sukumar., T. Srikanth and B. Mallaiah (2023)** Heterosis studies for grain yield and yield components in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Pharma Innovation Journal*, 12(12): 3850-3857.
- Tejaswini N., K. Sukumar., T. Srikanth and B. Mallaiah (2023)** Heterosis studies for grain yield and yield components in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Pharma Innovation Journal*, 12(12): 3850-3857.
- Tesfaye S and B. Sime (2021)** Heterosis of highland maize (*zea mays* l) hybrids for grain yield and yield related components. *Eas Journal Of Biotechnology And Genetics.*, (3): 47-52.
- Waghmare P. D., D. V. Dahat., V. L. Amolic., G. C. Shinde., S. R. Dhonde and M. R. Patil (2024)** Heterosis for yield traits in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *International Journal of Advanced Biochemistry Research.*, 2024; 8(5): 398-406.
- Zare F., J.I. Boye, V. Orsat, C. Champagne, B.K. Simpson. (2011).** Microbial, physical and sensory properties of yogurt supplemented with lentil flour. *Food Research International*. 44 (8) 2482-2488.

تقييم تحليل التباين ومتوسط الأداء وقوة الهجين لبعض الصفات الزراعية في سبعة سلالات والهجن الناتجة في الجيل الاول من الذرة الصفراء

هاجر أشرف الشحات ضيف، السيد السيد حسن ، علي عبدالحميد حسان و رجب فتحي ندا
قسم الانتاج النباتي- كلية التكنولوجيا و التنمية - جامعة الزقازيق- الزقازيق- مصر

أجريت التجربة الحقلية خلال موسمين متتاليين ٢٠٢٢ و ٢٠٢٣ في المزرعة البحثية بكلية الزراعة بمشنتر جامعة بنها. استخدم سبعة سلالات ذرة صفراء متجانسة متنوعة (السلالة ٦٣٥ (P1)، السلالة ٥٢٤ (P2)، السلالة ٤٢٣ (P3)، السلالة ٢٣١ (P4)، السلالة ٤١٨ (P5)، السلالة ٢٠٠ (P6) والسلالة ٢٠٢ (P7)). تم الحصول على السلالات من كلية الزراعة بمشنتر جامعة بنها، مصر. تم إجراء جميع التهجينات الممكنة باستثناء العكسية بين السلالات السبعة لإنتاج واحد وعشرين هجيناً. تم اتخاذ الاحتياطات اللازمة أثناء عمليات التهجين لتجنب تلوث المادة الوراثية. تم زراعة بذور الواحد والعشرون هجيناً بالإضافة الى الآباء في الموسم الثاني ٢٠٢٣ وتم تقييمها وفقاً لجميع الممارسات الزراعية الموصى بها لإنتاج الذرة من الزراعة و حتى الحصاد. باستخدام تصميم القطاعات كاملة العشوائية في ثلاث مكررات، لتقدير تحليل التباين ومتوسط الأداء وقوة الهجين لصفات ارتفاع النبات وارتفاع الكوز ومساحة ورقة العلم وقطر الساق وعدد الأوراق / نبات ووزن الكوز وعدد الكيزان / نبات وطول الكوز وقطر الكوز وعدد الصفوف / كوز وعدد الحبوب / الصف ووزن القولحة ووزن ١٠٠ حبة ووزن الحبوب / كوز ومحصول الحبوب / نبات.

أهم النتائج

- ١- أظهرت نتائج تحليل التباين اختلافات عالية المعنوية بين جميع التراكيب الوراثية لجميع صفات الدراسة. كان متوسط مجموع مربعات الآباء ذات دلالة احصائية عالية المعنوية لجميع صفات الدراسة باستثناء صفة محصول الحبوب / النبات. علاوة على ذلك، كان تحليل التباين للهجن عالي المعنوية لجميع صفات الدراسة. في حين كان تحليل التباين للهجن مقابل الآباء P vas F1s ذات معنوية عالية لجميع صفات الدراسة باستثناء قطر الكوز (سم).
 - ٢- تراوح متوسط أداء السبعة آباء والهجن الناتجة منها في الجيل الاول لمحصول الحبوب/نبات (جم) من ٣١.٧ إلى ٦٧.٠ (جم) للآباء، كما تغير من ٩١.٢٧ إلى ٤٠٥.٥ (جم) للهجن. وقد سجل الابوين P2 و P4 والهجن (P1 x P6) و (P2 x P4) و (P2 x P6) و (P2 x P7) أعلى القيم لمحصول الحبوب/نبات. ويمكن انتخابها في الاجيال التالية لتحسين المحصول ومكوناته.
 - ٣- وأظهرت البيانات الحالية لقوة الهجين لصفة محصول الحبوب/نبات موجبة عالية المعنوية على أساس متوسط الآباء لجميع الهجن باستثناء ثلاثة هجن (P2 x P5) و (P3 x P6) و (P6 x P7). علاوة على ذلك، كانت قوة الهجين على اساس الاب افضل موجبة وعالية المعنوية لجميع الهجن..
- التوصية:** إن الفروق ذات الدلالة الاحصائية بين جميع الصفات تحت الدراسة تشير الى الاختلافات الوراثية بين التراكيب الوراثية المستخدمة . كما إن متوسط الأداء هو أحد أهم التحليلات الإحصائية للتراكيب الوراثية المستخدمة للاختيار بينها في برامج التهجين لتحسين الذرة الشامية.