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**ABSTRACT**

The present work is a trial to study the population density of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.) on cowpea, *Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walpis plant. Also, to evaluate the effect of some insecticides against the pest under field conditions. Field experiments has been done at Zagazig District, Sharkia Governorate, during the seasons of 2015 and 2016. With the completion of the work, egg-masses and larval stages were fluctuated in the mean number. On other word, population density was higher with appreciable rate in 2015 than 2016 with mean number of 10 egg-mass, 366 larva/sample and 7.75 egg-mass, 279.16 larva/sample in both seasons, respectively.

The population density of *S. littoralis* larvae on cowpea plants differed in the two seasons and appeared highly average number 644 larva/sample in the first season and 536 larva/sample in the second season. In conclusion, certain points can be deduced from this work. The patterns observed during and after treatment with different regimes were:

1. Two peaks in the first season, and three in the second for larval population of *S. littoralis*
2. Population of *S. littoralis* larvae differs during the growing stages of cowpea plant.
3. The infestation percent was highly in flowering and reproductive stages (73.33%) in season 2015 while it recorded 88.0% in 2016 in the vegetative stage. Regarding to the efficacy of treated insecticides on *S. littoralis* data revealed that Match had the potential reduction on the larvae in the two seasons recording 88.67% initial effect and the residual was 92.44%. Reduction values were somewhat low at the onset of treatment, but starting from 5 days to rose up sequentially till the end period. The poorly effect was recorded in the case of the carbamate Methomate.
Fortunately, the latent effects of the tested compounds are seem to be promising in regard to the rate of reduction of S. littoralis.

Conclusively, the acquaintance of the number of generations of S. littoralis infesting cowpea plants can help in implementation of an integrated pest management program against that pest.
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INTRODUCTION

Cowpea, Vignaun guiculata (L.) Walp, a multifunctional crop providing food for man and livestock and serving as a valuable dependable revenue generating commodity in many parts of the world. Cowpea belongsto the family of Papilionaceae (Leguminosae-Papilionoideae, Fabaceae). Cowpea grain contains 23.4 % protein and good quantity of thiamine (vitamin B1), riboflavin (vitamin B2) and niacin (vitamin b3) and richer than cereals in iron and calcium content. Cowpea leaves are a significant source of Beta-carotene and ascorbic acid (vitamin C). Cowpeans seed grain used as food, supplement very well the protein deficiency of the predominantly carbohydrate (Ngalamu et al., 2015). Metwally (1999) declared that cowpea was attacked by different serious pests. The most insects attacking cowpea plants are the white flies Bemisia spp., Aphids, green stink bug, Nezara viridula L., Spodoptera littoralis (Boisd.), Empoa scadecipiens Paoli, and Thrip stabaci Lindeman. The cotton leaf worm, S. littoralis is considered as one of the most series pests for different crops in Asia, Africa and Europe (Smagghe and Degheele, 1997).

In Egypt, it is one of the most destructive agricultural lepidopterous pest within its subtropical and tropical range (Hosny et al., 1986). The cotton leaf worm, S. littoralis is a serious economic pest. It is apolyphagous on a wide varieties of plants, mainly attacks cotton in summer and Egyptian clover between plant to another, the pest frequently attacks vegetable plantations as temporary alternanths, and may cause severe damage to them Younis (1992). The effect of chemical pesticides on S. littoralis was extensively studied by several investigators (Anwar and El-Mageed 2005, Elgohary 2014 and Al-Shannahf et al., 2006). Desuky et al. (2005) studied the efficacy of Match (insect growth regulator) using the recommended field rate to control the cotton leaf worm in cotton fields.
This work aimed to study the population density of *S. littoralis* on cowpea crops, the combined effects of temperature and relative humidity in addition to estimating the efficacy of some insecticides against it.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

1. **Ecological studies:**
   1.1. **Population density of Spodoptera littoralis on cowpea plant:**

   Investigations were carried out at Zagazig District, Sharkia Governorate during two successive seasons 2015 and 2016. The experimental area (8 kirrat) was cultivated by cowpea variety Dokki 331 on 26th April in the first season and on 2nd May in the second to evaluate the population density of *S. littoralis*. The area was divided into 4 replicates distributed randomly. Normal agricultural practices were followed except pesticides applications. When the age of cowpea plants reached 15 days, random samples of 100 cowpea plants (25 plant from each replicate) were inspected weekly in the field. The samples represented different strata of the plant. Egg-masses and all larval instars were counted and recorded. The daily records of mean temperatures and mean relative humidity during 2015 and 16 were got from the Agrometeorological Station at Zagazig city which located nearly to the experimental area. The obtained results were statistically analyzed; correlation coefficient was estimated according to Costat (1990).

   Infestation percent \( \left( \frac{\text{number of infested plants}}{\text{total number of inspected plants}} \right) \times 100 \) and damage (Number of larvae / Plant) were calculated.

2. **Control studies:**
   2.1. **Insecticides used:**
   A. **Trade name**: Match 5 % EC.
   **Common name**: Lufenuron.
   **Chemical name**: (Rs)-1-[2,5-dichoro-4-(1,1,2,3,3,3-hexa fluoropropoxy) phenyl]-3- (2,6-difluorobenzoyl)urea.

   B. **Trade name**: Betavant 14.5%SC.
   **Common name**: Indoxacarb.
   **Chemical name**: Methyl(s)-N-[7-chloro-2,3,4a,5- tetra hycdro -4a (methoxycarbonyl) indeno [1, 2-e][1,3 ,4]oxadiazine-2-yl carbonyl]-4- (Trifluoromethoxy) Carbanilate.

   C. **Trade name**: Methomate 90%SP.
   **Common name**: Methomyl.
   **Chemical name**: s-methyl N-(Methyl carbamoyloxy) thioacetimidate.
2.2. Effect of the tested insecticides against *Spodoptera littoralis* on cowpea plant:

To study the efficacy of the tested insecticides against *S. littoralis* larvae, an area of about (16 Kirrat) was divided into 4 equal plots (3 treatments in addition to the control), and each treatment replicated four times. Treatments were arranged in a Randomized Complete Block Design. Dokki 331 cowpea variety was sowed at Zagagig District, Sharkia Governorate during both seasons 2015 and 2016. A Knapsack sprayer (20 litter) was used and filled with recommended concentrations of each insecticide. The plot of control was sprayed with water. Numbers of different larval instars of *S. littoralis* on 100 cowpea plants were counted directly while newly hatched ones were neglected from counting (25 cowpea plants were chosen randomly in each replicate) before treatment and examined after 3, 5, 7, and 10 days for Match and 1, 3, 5, 7, and 10 for each of Betavaunt and Methomate. The reduction percentages of *S. littoralis* egg-masses and larvae were calculated according to the equation of Hinderson and Telton (1955). For insecticide compounds the initial kill was represented with the reduction in population after one day of application, while in case of IGRs it occurred after 5 days of spraying (Gomaa et al., 1996; Badr et al., 2000 and Khedr, 2002). Residual effects of insecticide compounds were calculated as the average reduction after 3, 5, 7, and 10 days after application. While for IGR calculated as after 7 and 10 days after application.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. Ecological studies
1.1. Population density of *Spodoptera littoralis* on cowpea plant:

Data in Table (1) showed the population density of *S. littoralis* egg-masses and larval stages on cowpea plant during seasons 2015 and 2016. Results revealed that egg-masses appeared lately at the first season showing three peaks during the two seasons. The highest one was 27 egg-masses/sample at the end of June in 2015 and 18 egg-masses/sample in the third week of June 2016. Larvae of *S. littoralis* showed two peaks in the first season. The highest one was 644 larvae/sample appeared in the first week of July while the second 552 larvae/sample which appeared at the 2nd week of June. On the other hand, the population of larvae during season 2016 fluctuated during the other month showing three peaks, the highest was 536 larvae/sample appeared in the first week of July. The present results were near similar with Shahzad et al. (2014) who reported that cotton leaf worm population build...
Table (1). Weekly mean numbers of *S. littoralis*, mean temperature and R.H. % on cowpea at Sharkia Governorate during seasons 2015 and 2016:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of inspection</th>
<th>Season 2015</th>
<th>Season 2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number/100 plant</td>
<td>Number/100 plant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Egg-masses Larvae</td>
<td>Temp °C R.H. %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/5</td>
<td>0 9 24 47.4 17/5 3 5 30.8 35.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/5</td>
<td>6 11 23.8 50.4 24/5 9 9 25.2 46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/5</td>
<td>3 192 27.2 39.4 31/5 6 148 26 41.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>9 240 26.5 40.2 7/6 12 324 32.7 27.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/6</td>
<td>9 500 26.1 48.2 14/6 9 428 29 44.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/6</td>
<td>12 552 25.8 55 21/6 18 304 30 46.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/6</td>
<td>15 436 28.2 47 28/6 9 460 30.71 57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/6</td>
<td>27 580 27.2 48.1 5/7 12 536 29.71 58.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/7</td>
<td>12 644 27 56.2 12/7 9 280 30.14 56.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/7</td>
<td>18 488 27.7 53.8 19/7 3 440 30.2 50.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/7</td>
<td>9 460 28.8 52.8 26/7 3 320 30.14 54.42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/7</td>
<td>0 280 30 45.4 2/8 0 96 30.28 58.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>120 4392</td>
<td>93 3350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>10 366</td>
<td>7.75 279.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r (Egg-masses)</td>
<td>0.34 0.22</td>
<td>0.43 -0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r (Larvae)</td>
<td>0.71 0.59</td>
<td>0.60 0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

( r): Correlation coefficient

up initiated in July and continued a constant threat till the end of cotton season. Hence management practices should be focused from July and be continue till the end of October.

The correlation between two weather factors [temperature (°C) and relative humidity (RH%)] and the cotton leaf worm egg-masses and larvae on cowpea plant cleared with (r) values. The obtained data indicated positive correlation between the population of *S. littoralis* and the temperature). In contrast, a negative in RH. for the egg-masses. The (r) values were (r=0.34 & -0.22) for temperature and R.H., respectively during season 2015 on the *S. littoralis* population. Regarding to the season of 2016, the two phenomena were recorded (0.43 & -0.19) as the for mentioned, respectively. The relationship between number of larvae and both of temperature and R.H. was positive where (r)values were (0.71&0.59) for season 2015 while were (0.60&0.68) in the second season. These results similar with Somaa...
REHAB ABD ALLAH et al. (2016) who evaluated the effect of temperature and relative humidity on the population density of *S. littoralis*.

The effect of temperature was significant and positive while the relative humidity effect was negative. Also, these results are in agreement with Taha et al. (2012) who declared that temperature and relative humidity had significant effect on population density of *S. littoralis* where (r) values were (0.58 & 0.72), respectively.

1.2. Effect of the cowpea plants age on *S. littoralis* population during seasons 2015 and 2016:

Data in (Table 2) explicate the percent infestation and damage of *S. littoralis* during growing stages of cowpea plant. The infestation percent and damage were low at the germination stage. As for the infestation was 61.5 % in vegetative stage and rose up to 73.33% in flowering and reproductive stages at the first season 2015. Damage (no. of larvae / plant) was highly with a level of 4.81 larvae / plant at flowering and reproductive stage. The infestation percentage was increased at vegetative stage until reached 88 %, while it was 67.33 at the next stage.

**Table (2). Effect of plant age on population of *S. littoralis* on cowpea crops during seasons 2015 and 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth stages</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th></th>
<th>2016</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infestation</td>
<td>Damage (no.</td>
<td>Infestation</td>
<td>Damage (no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>of larvae/leaf)</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>of larvae/leaf)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germination</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetative</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowering and reproduction</td>
<td>73.33</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>67.33</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. Controltrials
2.1 Effect of certain insecticides against *Spodoptera littoralis*

All the investigated insecticides at the recommended concentrations were exhibited appreciable reduction against the infestation of *S. littoralis* on cowpea plants compared to control. The initial effect was measured as the reduction percentages of *S. littoralis* larvae at the first day post treatment for Betavaunt and Methomate and at the fifth day post treatment for Match. The differences in the times of initial effect was due to the mode of action of each insecticide. Data of (Table 3) showed that, through the season 2015 the initial effect of Match, Betavaunt and Methomate recorded 88.67 , 77.19
and 88.97% reduction, respectively whereas in the second season (2016) Match recorded the highest initial effect which was 84.91%. The values of residual effect showed that Match exhibited the highest value which was 92.44% in the first season and 87.71% in the second. The obtained results revealed that the residual effect of IGRs increased than that of initial effects during the two successive seasons, indicating that the effectiveness of Match increased with increasing the time. The present results corroborates those of Desuky et al. (2012) who evaluated the effect of Dimilin (IGR) on *S. littoralis* under field conditions. Their results indicated that the initial effect of tested IGR induced reduction in the rate of *S. littoralis* infestation, as it recorded (77.295%) reduction in season 2008, 71.8% during season 2009 and the residual effects were 82.60 and 76.00 % respectively. Also, the present results are near similar with Said et al. (2016) who evaluated the insecticides, lufenuron and in doxacarb, which caused reduction 85.6% and 77.6%, respectively. In addition, the results agree with that obtained by Elgohary (2014), and Abdel-Aal et al. (2009).

**Conclusively**, the acquaintance of the number of generations of *S. littoralis* infesting cowpea plants can help in implementation of an integrated pest management program against that pest.
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دراسات بينية ومكافحة علي دودة ورق القطن التي تصيب محصول
اللوبية
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الشرقاوي 2، مصطفى سعيد هاشم 1

1- معهد بحوث ودراسة النباتات – مركز البحوث الزراعية، الدقي – جيزة – مصر
2- قسم الانتشار النباتي، كلية التكنولوجيا والتنمية، جامعة الزقاق

- أجريت هذه الدراسة بمركز الزقاق محافقة الشرقية خلال الموسمين الزراعيين 2015 و2016 للتعرف على الكثافة العددية لدودة ورق القطن في حقول اللوبية وووضحت النتائج أن لفع البيض ظهرت متاخرة في السنة الأولى وسجل أكبر تعداد للجل في نهاية يونيو 27 لفة/ عينة "بينما سجل أعلى تعداد في الموسم الثاني 18 لفة/ عينة. ظهرت اليرقات بدروتين للتعداد أعلاها 436 يرقات/ عينة في الأسابيع الأولى من يوليو خلال الموسم الأول بينما في الموسم الثاني كان تعداد اليرقات متبتعا حيث ظهر ثلاث قمم أعلاها 536 يرقات/ عينة خلال الأسابيع الأول من يوليو كما جمعت عينات ورقيه من مراحل نمو المحصول المختلفة وتم حساب نسبة الإصابة حيث وجد أن مرحلة الإزهار والعقد كانت أكثر مراحل النمو للنباتات إصابة (73.33%) خلال عام 2015 بينما كانت مرحلة النمو الحضاري أكثر مراحل نمو المحصول إصابة عام 2016.

- استوختت ثلاث مبيدات حشرية تنتهي إلى ثلاث مجامعا مختلفة (ناعع عفولة، منظمات النمو الحشرية، الكربيات)، ضد برقانودة ورق القطن وهي (بيتافانت، ماتش وميثوميتي على التوالي) وذلك بالتركيز الموصية على محصول اللوبية، وكان الماتش أشد فعالية خلال الموسمين حيث أعطى 88.67% إصابة فورية و92.44% أثر باقي بيلي البيتافانت، بينما كان الميثوميتي أقل هذه المبيدات فعالية.

- التعريحة: بمعرفة عدد الأجيال للدودة على نبات اللوبية يمكن استخدام تلك في برامج التنبؤ بالإصابة بالدودة على المحصول واستخدام ذلك في برنامج المكافحة المتكاملة لكلفة.