

**Table 7.** Two-Way ANOVA and main effects by fertilization type and supplementary feed on water quality parameters and plankton densities (cells/m<sup>3</sup>) for all treatments of fertilizations and supplementary feed during the experimental period in earthen ponds (in 180 days).

Items	Water temp (°C)	DO (mg/l)	pH	PO <sub>4</sub> (mg/l)	NO <sub>2</sub> (mg/l)	NO <sub>3</sub> (mg/l)	NH <sub>4</sub> (mg/l)	T.Alkalinity (mgCaCO <sub>3</sub> /l)	Chll."a" µg/l	Phy.St.crop (No.org./m <sup>3</sup> )	Zoo.St. crops (No.org./m <sup>3</sup> )	Net product. (mgO <sub>2</sub> /m <sup>2</sup> /h)	Gross product. (mg O <sub>2</sub> /m <sup>2</sup> /h)
<b>ANOVA models:</b>													
Sign	ns	**	**	*	*	**	**	**	*	**	**	**	*
r <sup>2</sup>	0.22	0.47	0.35	0.16	0.11	0.19	0.33	0.47	0.22	0.30	0.19	0.27	0.39
<b>Source of variation:</b>													
Supplementary feed	ns	**	ns	ns	ns	*	*	**	*	*	*	ns	ns
Organic fertilizer	ns	ns	ns	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	**	ns	ns
Chemical fertilizer	ns	ns	*	*	ns	**	**	**	**	**	**	*	**
Org.+ chemical fertilizers	ns	**	ns	ns	*	*	**	**	*	*	**	*	*
Org.+Ch. + Suppl. feed	ns	**	*	*	*	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**

Sign. = Significance level \* P ≤ 0.05, \*\* P ≤ 0.01, ns: Not significant, r<sup>2</sup>: determination coefficient.

**Table 8.** Two-Way ANOVA and main effects by fertilization type and supplementary feed on growth performance and economics efficiency for all experimental treatments during the experimental period(in 180 days, Mean±SE).

ANOVA models	Tilapia Kg/feedd	C. carp Kg/feedd	Gray mul. Kg/feedd	G. carp Kg/feedd	S. carp Kg/feedd	Total production Kg/feeddan	SGR	FCR	K	Total cost	Eco. Effici	Net return (LE)	Net Revenue (%)
Sign	**	**	*	ns	*	*	**	**	**	*	ns	*	*
R <sup>2</sup>	0.73	0.84	0.56	0.37	0.33	0.73	0.51	0.50	0.61	0.55	0.41	0.64	0.62
<b>Source of variation:</b>													
1-Fertilization	**	**	*	Ns	**	**	*	Ns	*	**	Ns	*	*
2-Supplementary feed	Ns	**	*	Ns	Ns	**	Ns	*	*	Ns	*	*	*
3-Fertil.* Suppl. Feed	*	*	*	*	**	**	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
<b>Main Effects</b>													
1-Supplementary feed	799.8 <sup>ab</sup>	1167.2 <sup>a</sup> <sub>b</sub>	169.3 <sup>ab</sup>	114.1 <sup>b</sup>	167.8 <sup>ab</sup>	2418.2 ±11.89 <sup>c</sup>	0.73 <sup>b</sup>	1.73 <sup>a</sup>	1.62 <sup>b</sup>	5924.6 <sup>a</sup>	1.22	1330.0 <sup>ab</sup>	22.45 ±0.011 <sup>bc</sup>
2-Fertilization :													
- Cow manure	582.6 <sup>c</sup>		123.7 <sup>c</sup>	88.1 <sup>c</sup>	108.6 <sup>c</sup>	1558.8 ±19.63 <sup>d</sup>	0.71 <sup>b</sup>	0.0	1.46 <sup>c</sup>	3725.5 <sup>ab</sup>	1.15	561.2 <sup>c</sup>	15.06 ±0.009 <sup>c</sup>
- Chemical fertilizer	691.2 <sup>b</sup>	655.8 <sup>b</sup>	158.6 <sup>b</sup>	112.5 <sup>b</sup>	148.4 <sup>b</sup>	1617.5 ±17.47 <sup>cd</sup>	0.68 <sup>c</sup>	0.0	1.52 <sup>ab</sup>	3607.0 <sup>c</sup>	1.35	1245.5 <sup>b</sup>	34.53 ±0.022 <sup>bc</sup>
3-Fertil.* Suppl. Feed	988.2 <sup>a</sup>	506.8 <sup>c</sup>	210.6 <sup>a</sup>	146.2 <sup>a</sup>	199.6 <sup>a</sup>	2855.2 ±22.92 <sup>a</sup>	1.14 <sup>a</sup>	1.33 <sup>b</sup>	2.36 <sup>a</sup>	3654.7 <sup>b</sup>	3.12	7766.1a	212.50 ±0.225 <sup>a</sup>
			1310.6 <sup>a</sup>										

Sign. = Significance level \* P ≤ 0.05, \*\* P ≤ 0.01, and Ns: Not significant. r<sup>2</sup>: Determination coefficient.

Means with different letter in the same column in each main effect are significantly different (Duncan's multiple range test at P<0.05).

**Table 6. Economic efficiency of experimental fish species for all treatments of fertilizations and supplementary feed during the experimental period in earthen ponds ( in 180 days, mean ).**

Treatments	Total yield (Kg/Feddan)	Total return (L.E)	Total costs ( LE)	Cost/kg fish (LE/kg fish)	Eco. Effici.	Net return (LE)	Net Revenue* (%)
<b>T1(feed)</b>	2418.2	7254.6	5924.6 <sup>a</sup>	2.45 <sup>ab</sup>	1.22	1330.0	22.45
<b>T2(Org.)</b>	1558.8	4286.7	3725.5 <sup>ab</sup>	2.39 <sup>b</sup>	1.15	561.2	15.06
<b>T3(Ch.)</b>	1617.5	4852.5	3607.0 <sup>b</sup>	2.23 <sup>c</sup>	1.35	1245.5	34.53
<b>T4(O.+Ch)</b>	2159.0	6477.0	3022.6 <sup>c</sup>	2.40 <sup>b</sup>	2.14	3454.4	114.29
<b>T5(Or.+f)</b>	2330.3	6990.9	3658.6 <sup>ab</sup>	2.57 <sup>a</sup>	1.91	3332.3	91.08
<b>T6(Ch+f)</b>	2657.7	7973.1	3455.0 <sup>bc</sup>	2.30 <sup>bc</sup>	2.31	4518.1	130.77
<b>T7(O.Ch+f)</b>	2855.2	11420.8	3654.7 <sup>ab</sup>	2.28 <sup>bc</sup>	3.12	7766.1	212.50

Means with different letter in the same column in each main effect are significantly different (Duncan's multiple range test at P<0.05).

- Net Revenue: Net Return (LE)/ Total costs

**Table 5:** Growth and Production parameters of wild mixed-sex *Tilapia* species( *O. niloticus* and *O. aureus* ), Common carp(*C.carpio*)gray mullet (*M.cephalus*), Grass carp (*C. idella*) and Silver carp (*H. molitrix*) for all treatments of fertilizations and supplementary feed during the experimental period in earthen ponds (in 180 days, mean $\pm$ SE).

Treatments	Av.Initial wW. g/fish	Av.Final wW. g/fish	Av.Net wW.Gain g/fish	SGR %WG/day	FCR	Cond. factor K	Production Kg/feddan	T. production Kg/fedd.
<b>T1 :</b>								
Tilapia sp.	17.6 $\pm$ 2.27 <sup>a</sup>	133.3 $\pm$ 2.91 <sup>ab</sup>	105.7 $\pm$ 9.35 <sup>ab</sup>	0.58 <sup>ab</sup>	-	1.3 <sup>ab</sup>	799.8	
Common carp	26.3 $\pm$ 1.33 <sup>a</sup>	583.6 $\pm$ 3.42 <sup>c</sup>	557.3 $\pm$ 11.22 <sup>c</sup>	0.75 <sup>bc</sup>	-	1.6 <sup>bc</sup>	1167.2	
Gray mullet	0.9 $\pm$ 0.57 <sup>a</sup>	169.3 $\pm$ 1.23 <sup>cd</sup>	168.4 $\pm$ 2.25 <sup>cd</sup>	1.19 <sup>c</sup>	-	1.4 <sup>ab</sup>	169.3	
Grass carp	33.6 $\pm$ 2.39 <sup>a</sup>	228.2 $\pm$ 5.38 <sup>cd</sup>	194.6 $\pm$ 10.41 <sup>bc</sup>	0.48 <sup>bc</sup>	-	2.1 <sup>ab</sup>	114.1	
Silver carp	27.3 $\pm$ 3.18 <sup>a</sup>	335.6 $\pm$ 3.44 <sup>cd</sup>	308.3 $\pm$ 8.01 <sup>cd</sup>	0.59 <sup>c</sup>	-	2.2 <sup>bc</sup>	167.8	
<b>T2 :</b>								
Tilapia sp.	16.9 $\pm$ 2.33 <sup>a</sup>	97.1 $\pm$ 1.51 <sup>cd</sup>	80.2 $\pm$ 5.75 <sup>cd</sup>	0.47 <sup>c</sup>	0.00	1.46 <sup>c</sup>	582.6	1558.8 $\pm$ 19.63 <sup>g</sup>
Common carp	25.3 $\pm$ 1.77 <sup>a</sup>	327.9 $\pm$ 4.54 <sup>bc</sup>	302.6 $\pm$ 14.28 <sup>bc</sup>	0.84 <sup>ab</sup>	-	1.9 <sup>bc</sup>	655.8	
Gray mull.	0.9 $\pm$ 0.06 <sup>a</sup>	123.7 $\pm$ 1.71 <sup>cd</sup>	122.8 $\pm$ 3.67 <sup>cd</sup>	1.17 <sup>c</sup>	-	1.1 <sup>c</sup>	123.7	
Grass carp	34.2 $\pm$ 1.45 <sup>a</sup>	176.1 $\pm$ 2.61 <sup>c</sup>	141.9 $\pm$ 15.81 <sup>c</sup>	0.42 <sup>c</sup>	-	1.8 <sup>c</sup>	88.1	
Silver carp	28.1 $\pm$ 2.27 <sup>a</sup>	217.2 $\pm$ 4.25 <sup>bc</sup>	189.1 $\pm$ 12.67 <sup>bc</sup>	0.63 <sup>bc</sup>	-	2.3 <sup>ab</sup>	108.6	
<b>T3 :</b>								
Tilapia sp.	16.3 $\pm$ 1.79 <sup>a</sup>	115.2 $\pm$ 1.76 <sup>bcd</sup>	98.9 $\pm$ 6.27 <sup>bcd</sup>	0.55 <sup>bc</sup>	0.00	1.1 <sup>bc</sup>	691.2	1617.5 $\pm$ 17.47 <sup>f</sup>
Com. carp	25.3 $\pm$ 1.87 <sup>a</sup>	253.4 $\pm$ 3.33 <sup>d</sup>	228.1 $\pm$ 9.21 <sup>d</sup>	0.69 <sup>c</sup>	-	1.4 <sup>c</sup>	506.8	
Gray mull.	0.9 $\pm$ 0.09 <sup>a</sup>	158.6 $\pm$ 1.55 <sup>d</sup>	157.7 $\pm$ 2.61 <sup>d</sup>	1.22 <sup>bc</sup>	-	1.3 <sup>ab</sup>	158.6	
Grass carp	32.6 $\pm$ 3.12 <sup>a</sup>	214.9 $\pm$ 3.05 <sup>cd</sup>	182.3 $\pm$ 7.68 <sup>cd</sup>	0.44 <sup>c</sup>	-	1.9 <sup>c</sup>	112.5	
Silver carp	28.7 $\pm$ 2.44 <sup>a</sup>	296.8 $\pm$ 4.11 <sup>d</sup>	268.1 $\pm$ 11.21 <sup>d</sup>	0.48 <sup>d</sup>	-	1.9 <sup>c</sup>	148.4	
<b>T4 :</b>								
Tilapia sp.	18.1 $\pm$ 2.55 <sup>a</sup>	140.5 $\pm$ 1.92 <sup>c</sup>	122.4 $\pm$ 7.94 <sup>bc</sup>	0.54 <sup>bc</sup>	0.00	1.2 <sup>bc</sup>	903.0	2159.0 $\pm$ 12.78 <sup>e</sup>
Com. carp	27.2 $\pm$ 2.77 <sup>a</sup>	393.8 $\pm$ 5.91 <sup>b</sup>	366.6 $\pm$ 17.07 <sup>b</sup>	0.99 <sup>b</sup>	-	2.5 <sup>b</sup>	787.6	
Gray mull.	0.9 $\pm$ 0.07 <sup>a</sup>	173.5 $\pm$ 1.97 <sup>ab</sup>	172.6 $\pm$ 4.28 <sup>ab</sup>	1.44 <sup>ab</sup>	-	1.5 <sup>b</sup>	173.5	
Grass carp	34.2 $\pm$ 2.55 <sup>a</sup>	257.2 $\pm$ 6.25 <sup>b</sup>	223.0 $\pm$ 11.25 <sup>b</sup>	0.57 <sup>b</sup>	-	2.5 <sup>b</sup>	128.6	
Silver carp	27.9 $\pm$ 2.11 <sup>a</sup>	332.6 $\pm$ 5.01 <sup>b</sup>	304.7 $\pm$ 17.88 <sup>b</sup>	0.73 <sup>ab</sup>	-	2.6 <sup>b</sup>	166.3	

Means in the same column having the same letter were not significantly different (P $\leq$ 0.05).

**Cont. Table 5**

Treatments	Av.Initial wW. g/fish	Av.Final wW. g/fish	Av.Net wW.Gain g/fish	SGR %WG/day	FCR	Cond. factor K	Production Kg/feddan	T. production Kg/fedd.
<b>T5:</b>								
Tilapia sp.	20.4±2.54 <sup>a</sup>	150.7±1.09 <sup>ab</sup>	130.3±10.36 <sup>ab</sup>	0.89 <sup>ab</sup>	1.83 <sup>a</sup>	1.84 <sup>ab</sup>		2330.3±15.29 <sup>d</sup>
Com. carp	28.5±1.55 <sup>a</sup>	512.4±3.65 <sup>bc</sup>	483.9±14.47 <sup>bc</sup>	0.89 <sup>ab</sup>	-	2.4 <sup>ab</sup>	1024	
Gray mull.	0.9±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	181.5±1.87 <sup>cd</sup>	180.6±3.08 <sup>cd</sup>	1.69 <sup>b</sup>	-	1.7 <sup>b</sup>	181.5	
Grass carp	35.1±2.97 <sup>a</sup>	205.3±5.08 <sup>bc</sup>	170.2±8.77 <sup>bc</sup>	0.49 <sup>bc</sup>	-	2.2 <sup>ab</sup>	102.7	
Silver carp	27.5±2.26 <sup>a</sup>	235.8±3.95 <sup>ab</sup>	208.3±15.05 <sup>ab</sup>	0.69 <sup>ab</sup>	-	2.4 <sup>ab</sup>	117.9	
<b>T6:</b>								
Tilapia sp.	19.1±2.01 <sup>a</sup>	158.9±1.21 <sup>b</sup>	139.8±11.45 <sup>b</sup>	0.57 <sup>ab</sup>	-	1.4 <sup>ab</sup>	953.4	
Com. carp	28.4±1.37 <sup>a</sup>	602.6±5.37 <sup>ab</sup>	574.2±15.71 <sup>ab</sup>	0.91 <sup>ab</sup>	-	2.3 <sup>ab</sup>	1205.2	
Gray mull.	0.9±0.09 <sup>a</sup>	190.4±1.27 <sup>bc</sup>	189.5±4.09 <sup>bc</sup>	1.27 <sup>bc</sup>	-	1.4 <sup>ab</sup>	190.4	
Grass carp	35.6±2.57 <sup>a</sup>	273.7±4.51 <sup>ab</sup>	238.1±9.01 <sup>bc</sup>	0.55 <sup>ab</sup>	-	2.4 <sup>b</sup>	136.9	
Silver carp	28.4±3.34 <sup>a</sup>	353.5±6.62 <sup>c</sup>	325.1±16.84 <sup>c</sup>	0.89 <sup>b</sup>	-	2.1 <sup>bc</sup>	171.8	
<b>T7:</b>								
Tilapia sp.	19.8±2.92 <sup>a</sup>	164.7±1.99 <sup>a</sup>	154.9±12.91 <sup>a</sup>	0.81 <sup>a</sup>	-	1.7 <sup>a</sup>	988.2	
Com. carp	27.2±2.69 <sup>a</sup>	655.3±6.58 <sup>a</sup>	628.1±17.22 <sup>a</sup>	1.48 <sup>a</sup>	-	3.4 <sup>a</sup>	1310.6	
Gray mull.	0.9±0.08 <sup>a</sup>	210.6±1.74 <sup>a</sup>	209.7±5.55 <sup>a</sup>	1.73 <sup>a</sup>	-	1.7 <sup>a</sup>	210.6	
Grass carp	34.9±2.39 <sup>a</sup>	292.3±5.06 <sup>a</sup>	257.4±14.11 <sup>a</sup>	0.65 <sup>a</sup>	-	2.6 <sup>a</sup>	146.2	
Silver carp	28.3±3.51 <sup>a</sup>	399.4±7.43 <sup>a</sup>	371.1±18.71 <sup>a</sup>	0.97 <sup>a</sup>	-	3.2 <sup>a</sup>	199.6	

**Table 3: Monthly average of water quality parameters and plankton densities(cells/m<sup>3</sup>)for all treatments of fertilizations and supplementary feed during the experimental period in earthen ponds ( in 180 days, Mean± SE).**

Parameters	T1 (feed)	T2 (org.)	T3 (Ch)	T4 (org+Ch)	T5 (org+f)	T6 (Ch+f)	T7 (org+Ch+f)
<b>Water temp.(°C)</b>	27.3±0.21 <sup>a</sup>	27.8±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	27.2±0.33 <sup>a</sup>	27.9±0.11 <sup>a</sup>	28.2±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	27.7±0.77 <sup>a</sup>	28.5±0.91 <sup>a</sup>
<b>DO (mg/l)</b>	5.8±0.39 <sup>b</sup>	5.4±0.55 <sup>ab</sup>	6.9±0.27 <sup>b</sup>	6.3±0.95 <sup>a</sup>	5.6±0.98 <sup>ab</sup>	7.1±0.11 <sup>ab</sup>	7.3±0.37 <sup>a</sup>
<b>pH</b>	8.1±0.67 <sup>b</sup>	8.1±1.72 <sup>b</sup>	8.4±1.39 <sup>ab</sup>	8.2±0.98 <sup>a</sup>	8.1±2.51 <sup>a</sup>	8.5±1.58 <sup>ab</sup>	8.6±2.11 <sup>a</sup>
<b>PO<sub>4</sub></b>	0.07±0.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.09±0.99 <sup>b</sup>	0.17±0.27 <sup>ab</sup>	0.13±0.14 <sup>ab</sup>	0.11±0.39 <sup>b</sup>	0.16±0.11 <sup>abc</sup>	0.17±0.07 <sup>a</sup>
<b>NO<sub>2</sub> (mg N/l)</b>	0.03±0.92 <sup>b</sup>	0.05±1.38 <sup>ab</sup>	0.02±1.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.04±0.86 <sup>ab</sup>	0.06±0.77 <sup>b</sup>	0.01±0.55 <sup>b</sup>	0.07±1.76 <sup>a</sup>
<b>NO<sub>3</sub> (mg N/l)</b>	0.13±0.67 <sup>c</sup>	0.16±0.98 <sup>ab</sup>	0.18±1.16 <sup>a</sup>	0.17±1.19 <sup>ab</sup>	0.15±1.55 <sup>ab</sup>	0.18±1.36 <sup>ab</sup>	0.19±2.57 <sup>a</sup>
<b>NH<sub>4</sub> (mgN/l)</b>	0.26±0.25 <sup>b</sup>	0.22±1.76 <sup>b</sup>	0.30±0.38 <sup>ab</sup>	0.27±0.06 <sup>b</sup>	0.25±1.22 <sup>b</sup>	0.32±0.79 <sup>a</sup>	0.36±0.33 <sup>ab</sup>
<b>Total alkalinity (mgCaCO<sub>3</sub>/l)</b>	217±5.81 <sup>ab</sup>	230±3.25 <sup>b</sup>	299±4.45 <sup>c</sup>	314±4.78 <sup>b</sup>	255±5.58 <sup>ab</sup>	362±4.29 <sup>b</sup>	399±6.17 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Chlorophyll a (µg/l)</b>	33.7±5.27 <sup>c</sup>	41.2±3.52 <sup>ab</sup>	52.1±2.99 <sup>ab</sup>	56.4±6.18 <sup>a</sup>	44.7±3.11 <sup>ab</sup>	61.3±4.59 <sup>ab</sup>	67.2±2.19 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Phyt.stand.crops (No.x10<sup>7</sup>org./m<sup>3</sup>)</b>	199±322 <sup>c</sup>	238±213 <sup>bc</sup>	414±255 <sup>ab</sup>	403±366 <sup>ab</sup>	295±411 <sup>bc</sup>	451±297 <sup>a</sup>	512±371 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Zoopl.Stand. crops (No.x10<sup>5</sup>org./m<sup>3</sup>)</b>	85±115 <sup>c</sup>	94±59 <sup>c</sup>	125±64 <sup>bc</sup>	153±53 <sup>ab</sup>	118±71 <sup>bc</sup>	177±68 <sup>b</sup>	184±122 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Net productivity (mg O<sub>2</sub>/m<sup>2</sup>/h)</b>	0.108±2.81 <sup>b</sup>	0.11±2.81 <sup>c</sup>	0.141±2.81 <sup>c</sup>	0.151±2.81 <sup>b</sup>	0.128±2.81 <sup>a</sup>	0.165±2.81 <sup>ab</sup>	0.179±0.64 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Gross productivity (mg O<sub>2</sub>/m<sup>2</sup>/h)</b>	0.315±0.86 <sup>c</sup>	0.403±0.98 <sup>b</sup>	0.512±0.47 <sup>b</sup>	0.463±0.35 <sup>ab</sup>	0.429±0.72 <sup>b</sup>	0.614±0.56 <sup>ab</sup>	0.701±0.97 <sup>a</sup>

Means in the same column having the same letter were not significantly different (P≤0.05).